

# TUSCOLA COUNTY Committee of the Whole MEETING AGENDA 

Monday, April 22, 2024-8:00 AM
H.H. Purdy Building Board Room, 125 W. Lincoln St., Caro, MI 48723

Public may participate in the meeting electronically: Join by phone: (US) +1 929-276-1248 PIN:112 203 398\# Join by Hangouts Meeting ID: meet.google.com/mih-intr-jya

| 8:00 AM | Call to Order - Chairperson Vaughan |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Roll Call - Clerk Fetting |

## New Business

1. Motorcycle Awareness Month - Representative from American Bikers

Aiming Toward Education (ABATE)
Motorcycle Awareness Month
Resolution 2022-09 Motorcycle Awareness Month
2. 2024 Equalization Report - Angie Daniels, Equalization Director 9-31 2024 Tuscola County Equalization Report
3. Citizen Concern Regarding Dogs At-Large - Neil Hammerbacher,

Controller-Administrator
Tuscola County Mail - [EXTERNAL] Solutions for Aggressive Loose
Dog Issues
Animal Control Director's Response(1)
4. Board of Commissioners' Compensation - Matthew Koch, Commissioner

37-39
MAC Article - How does commissioner compensation work with 4 -year term
2023 Board of Commissioners Budget vs. Actual vs. Prior Year

## Old Business

1. Attorney Review of Ballot Language for Proposed Millages - Neil

Hammerbacher, Controller-Administrator
Attorney Review of Millage Language

## Finance/Technology

Committee Leader Commissioner Young and Commissioner Koch
Primary Finance/Technology

1. Consideration for Amending the County's Fund Balance Policy -

Neil Hammerbacher, Controller-Administrator
Current General Fund Balance Policy
GFOA Reserve Policy 2020-02-23
2. Financial Performance of the Dispatch/911 Fund - Neil

Hammerbacher, Controller-Administrator
Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices
Dispatch-911 County Comparisons
5 Year Forecast of Tuscola County's Dispatch-911Fund
All County Millages Comparison - 2023
3. Consideration for Using the BS\&A Software Company's Help in

Updating our Chart of Accounts - Neil Hammerbacher, Controller

- Administrator

BS\&A Help with Updating Tuscola County's Chart of Accounts

## On-Going and Other Finance

On-Going and Other Technology

## Building and Grounds

Committee Leader Commissioner Koch and Commissioner Lutz

## Primary Building and Grounds

1. Consideration of Change Orders \#1 and \#2 to the People's State

Bank (PSB) Construction Project - Mike Miller, Buildings and
Grounds/Recycling Director
Booms Construction CO\#1
Booms Construction CO\#2
On-Going and Other Building and Grounds

## Personnel

Committee Leader Commissioner Bardwell and Commissioner Vaughan
Primary Personnel
On-Going and Other Personnel

## Other Business as Necessary

Public Comment Period

## Adjournment

## REQUEST FOR PROCLAMATION

My name is Jeremy Bouvrette. I belong to the non-profit organization ABATE of Michigan. ABATE stands for American Bikers Aiming Toward Education, and this region covers Huron, Tuscola, \& Sanilac counties. We are motorcyclists from all walks of life and our goals are to insure safety and freedom of our public roads, promote motorcycling in general and to promote motorcycle awareness to the general public. One of the ways we aim to do this, is by getting May declared as Motorcycle Awareness Month through proclamation. So we are asking the County of Sanilac to proclaim May as Motorcycle Awareness Month. It is our hope that by obtaining these proclamations declared by cities, townships, villages and counties that motorists will become more aware of alternate forms of transportation; which is everyone's concern. This awareness will help the visibility and safety of not only motorcyclists, but also pedestrians, bicyclists and joggers; which will increase as the weather becomes nicer and warmer.

Enclosed is a copy of the proclamation of which we believe may help in reducing accidents; thus avoiding injuries and/or death.

Thank you for your time and if there are any questions please feel free to contact our State Awareness Director or myself. Thank You Sincerely,

# TUSCOLA COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS 

125 W. Lincoln Street
Suite 500
Caro, MI 48723
At a regular meeting of the Board of Commissioners for the County of Tuscola, State of Michigan, on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of April 2022 with the meeting called to order at 8:00 a.m.

Commissioners Present: Thomas ypug, Thomas Bardurle, Kin vaughan
Dougles Du Rissel. Dan Erimshaw
Commissioners Absent: NO NE

The following resolution was offered by Commissioner Vaughac, seconded by Commissioner $\qquad$ ,

## RESOLUTION 2022-09 PROCLAIMING THE MONTH OF MAY MOTORCYCLE AWARENESS MONTH

WHEREAS, the County of Tuscola, has many Citizens who are concerned about Motorcycle Safety while touring, during recreation, and daily transportation; and

WHEREAS, the Month of May is the Nationally recognized month motorcycles become more prevalent on our streets; the need to be more aware of their presence is of the upmost urgency; and

WHEREAS, due to the Pure Michigan advertising campaign and the modification of the Michigan Helmet Law many out of State riders will be visiting our State and using our roads, and

WHEREAS, an overwhelming number of car vs. motorcycle accidents could be avoided with due regard, respect, and awareness of motorcycles on the streets and intersection of this County of Tuscola; and

1|Page
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Page 6 of 87

WHEREAS, the inclusion of Motorcycle Awareness provided by ABATE of Michigan as a distinct part of the driver education curriculum and Motorcycle Rider Education programs will help to promote safe driving and motorcycle riding practices, and

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of our community and the Citizens of the County of Tuscola to note the increase in the amount of motorcycle traffic as we enter the warm months, to enable the reduction of accidents and injuries involving motorcyclists.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that on this $28^{\text {th }}$ Day of April, 2022, the Tuscola County Board of Commissioners hereby Proclaim the Month of May as Motorcycle Awareness Month in the County of Tuscola.
Ayes: Drinshaw. ypurg. Vaughan. Du Russel. Bordure
Nays: none
Absent: None


I, Jodi Fetting, Tuscola County Clerk, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the Tuscola County Board of Commissioners at its meeting on April 28, 2022.

Date $\qquad$ April 28,2022 Jodi Stating
Jodi Fetting, Tuscola County Clerk
Clerk to the Tuscola County Board of
Commissioners
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# Tuscola County Equalization Report 

## 2024

(Preliminary Taxable Values Included)

## Contents

Message from the Department .....  2
Graphs and Charts. .....  3
Percent Change by Local Unit .....  8
2024 Top 10 List ..... 11
2024 Statement of Assessed \& Equalized Values to the STC (L-4024) ..... 12
Parcel Count Report ..... 19
2024 Preliminary Taxable Valuations (L-4046) ..... 20

## Tuscola County Assessing Officers

| Assessing Jurisdiction |  | Assessor of Record |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Akron Township |  | Nathan Hager, MMAO |
| Almer Township |  | Dara Hood, MCAO |
| Arbela Township |  | Dave McArthur, MAAO |
| Columbia Township |  | Dara Hood, MCAO |
| Dayton Township |  | Joan Fackler, MAAO |
| Denmark Township |  | Susan Jensen, MAAO |
| Elkland Township |  | Dave McArthur, MAAO |
| Ellington Township |  | Susan Jensen, MAAO |
| Elmwood Township |  | Dave McArthur, MAAO |
| Fairgrove Township |  | Deb Young, MCAO |
| Fremont Township |  | Joan Fackler, MAAO |
| Gilford Township |  | Susan Jensen, MAAO |
| Indianfields Township |  | Dave McArthur, MAAO |
| Juniata Township |  | Dave McArthur, MAAO |
| Kingston Township |  | Carrie Gilley, MCAO |
| Koylton Township |  | Nathan Hager, MMAO |
| Millington Township |  | Heather MacDermaid, MAAO |
| Novesta Township |  | Susan Jensen, MAAO |
| Tuscola Township |  | Tod Fackler, MAAO |
| Vassar Township |  | Lisa Shagena, MAAO |
| Watertown Township |  | Sherry Hickmott, MCAO |
| Wells Township |  | Susan Jensen, MAAO |
| Wisner Township |  | Nathan Hager, MMAO |
| City of Caro |  | Angie Daniels, MAAO |
| City of Vassar |  | Dave Kern, MMAO |

## Message from the Department

The Equalization process is vital to guaranteeing that properties are assessed at $50 \%$ of market value as is required by Article IX, Section 3 of the Michigan Constitution of 1963. County Equalization serves as a check on assessments determined at the local level, ensuring they are fair and equitable across the County.

The responsibilities of County Equalization rest primarily with each County's Board of Commissioners. Recognizing the technical and difficult nature of equalization duties, the State, through the General Property Tax Act, requires each County to employ a certified Equalization Director to assist and advise the Board in fulfilling their duties.

In addition to the general purpose of ensuring fair and equitable assessments, statutory requirements of County Equalization include the collection and analysis of sales data, providing assessment assistance to local units, and providing the State Tax Commission with annual reports.

The Tuscola County Equalization Department through the efforts of the staff and with the cooperation of the various assessing officers of the County, has completed its review of the 2024 assessment rolls of the twenty-three (23) townships and two (2) cities of Tuscola County. The recommended County Equalized Value for 2024 is $3,739,115,272$. The value is a combination of $3,261,655,465$ real property and $477,459,807$ personal property.

The 2024 Tuscola County Equalization Report prepared by the Tuscola County Equalization Department is submitted for approval and adoption. The department wishes to express appreciation to the Board of Commissioners, Tuscola County GIS Coordinator Cody Horton and assessing officers of the county for their cooperative efforts.

The Equalization Report will be located on the County website at the following address http://www.tuscolacounty.org/equalization/

Presented By

Angie Daniels, MAAO
Colleen Smith, MCAO
Echo Torrez, MCAT
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## Taxable Value Trend by Class

$1,400,000,000$

tUSCOLA COUNTY
Percent Change - 2023 to 2024 Includes New, Loss and Adjustment

## By Local Unit

| Unit | 2023 Equalized Value | 2024 Equalized Value | C.E.V. \% Change | 2023 Taxable Value | 2024 Taxable Value | Taxable \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOWNSHIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKRON TWP | 228,910,250 | 235,175,400 | 2.74\% | 147,312,054 | 139,497,645 | -5.30\% |
| ALMER CHARTER TOWNSH | 129,808,200 | 142,944,500 | 10.12\% | 72,796,145 | 77,821,539 | 6.90\% |
| ARBELA TWP | 143,185,350 | 155,439,850 | 8.56\% | 83,719,606 | 89,639,770 | 7.07\% |
| COLUMBIA TWP | 224,136,300 | 223,764,900 | -0.17\% | 166,265,499 | 158,598,516 | -4.61\% |
| DAYTON TOWNSHIP | 114,478,186 | 135,623,500 | 18.47\% | 65,160,841 | 70,938,814 | 8.87\% |
| DENMARK TWP | 191,836,600 | 203,647,700 | 6.16\% | 120,001,949 | 126,532,745 | 5.44\% |
| ELKLAND TWP | 174,562,100 | 196,159,200 | 12.37\% | 108,535,893 | 117,630,162 | 8.38\% |
| ELLINGTON TWP | 84,389,800 | 94,182,600 | 11.60\% | 47,910,956 | 52,638,852 | 9.87\% |
| ELMWOOD TWP | 89,176,200 | 107,503,800 | 20.55\% | 46,912,995 | 50,463,702 | 7.57\% |
| FAIRGROVE TWP | 212,862,800 | 214,588,800 | 0.81\% | 148,584,967 | 147,931,027 | -0.44\% |
| FREMONT TWP | 144,043,530 | 166,853,900 | 15.84\% | 92,581,449 | 99,653,259 | 7.64\% |
| GILFORD TOWNSHIP | 184,678,400 | 189,958,500 | 2.86\% | 129,877,923 | 128,203,482 | -1.29\% |
| INDIANFIELDS | 103,243,500 | 113,060,466 | 9.51\% | 72,159,313 | 79,273,727 | 9.86\% |
| JUNIATA TWP | 137,615,300 | 154,127,400 | 12.00\% | 90,774,758 | 93,248,336 | 2.72\% |
| KINGSTON TWP | 89,101,956 | 98,602,044 | 10.66\% | 46,610,499 | 51,211,655 | 9.87\% |
| KOYLTON TOWNSHIP | 104,225,675 | 115,364,700 | 10.69\% | 57,359,453 | 62,116,521 | 8.29\% |
| MILLINGTON TWP | 208,974,000 | 234,463,200 | 12.20\% | 135,304,851 | 146,385,099 | 8.19\% |
| NOVESTA TWP | 84,528,100 | 93,915,500 | 11.11\% | 46,682,866 | 52,304,234 | 12.04\% |
| TUSCOLA TWP | 162,161,250 | 172,840,750 | 6.59\% | 98,242,980 | 101,810,707 | 3.63\% |
| VASSAR TWP | 158,234,040 | 178,344,029 | 12.71\% | 107,407,599 | 116,444,206 | 8.41\% |
| WATERTOWN TWP | 109,363,450 | 121,422,140 | 11.03\% | 66,475,942 | 73,362,274 | 10.36\% |
| WELLS TWP | 87,631,600 | 96,970,200 | 10.66\% | 54,771,034 | 59,833,182 | 9.24\% |
| WISNER TWP | 67,360,400 | 73,106,050 | 8.53\% | 33,372,738 | 35,083,136 | 5.13\% |
| CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CARO | 127,649,850 | 139,113,143 | 8.98\% | 95,961,609 | 104,269,406 | 8.66\% |


| Unit | 2023 Equalized Value | 2024 Equalized Value | C.E.V. \% Change | 2023 Taxable Value | 2024 Taxable Value | Taxable \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITIES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VASSAR CITY | 71,591,900 | 81,943,000 | 14.46\% | 55,485,727 | 60,699,909 | 9.40\% |
| VILLAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKRON VILLAGE 001 | 7,919,700 | 9,428,300 | 19.05\% | 5,123,436 | 5,668,655 | 10.64\% |
| AKRON VILLAGE 010 | 4,657,900 | 4,630,600 | -0.59\% | 2,845,033 | 2,950,831 | 3.72\% |
| CASS CITY 007 | 79,074,400 | 92,336,300 | 16.77\% | 61,592,351 | 67,793,801 | 10.07\% |
| FAIRGROVE VILLAGE 010 | 15,426,800 | 15,575,100 | 0.96\% | 8,444,323 | 9,013,306 | 6.74\% |
| GAGETOWN 009 | 5,024,400 | 7,081,500 | 40.94\% | 4,033,969 | 4,335,423 | 7.47\% |
| KINGSTON VILLAGE 015 | 7,828,566 | 8,984,548 | 14.77\% | 5,564,571 | 5,982,034 | 7.50\% |
| KINGSTON VILLAGE 016 | 1,898,100 | 2,214,800 | 16.69\% | 1,512,003 | 1,756,329 | 16.16\% |
| MAYVILLE 011 | 25,534,000 | 31,800,100 | 24.54\% | 18,875,453 | 19,988,898 | 5.90\% |
| MILLINGTON VILLAGE 017 | 30,413,200 | 34,271,900 | 12.69\% | 21,975,024 | 23,509,432 | 6.98\% |
| REESE 006 | 45,630,100 | 49,757,500 | 9.05\% | 34,217,620 | 36,743,493 | 7.38\% |
| UNIONVILLE 004 | 13,679,300 | 16,074,600 | 17.51\% | 9,959,923 | 11,227,858 | 12.73\% |


Tuscola County

Top 10 Owners by Taxable Value

Total
$\begin{array}{r}145,376,808 \\ \hline 91,273,589 \\ \hline\end{array}$
-


| Top 10 Owners by Taxable Value |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owner's Name |  | Real | Personal | Total |
| 1 | Consumers Energy Company | 1,366,467 | 144,010,341 | 145,376,808 |
| 2 | Pegasus Wind LLC | 35,889 | 91,237,700 | 91,273,589 |
| 3 | DTE Electric Company | 1,114,534 | 66,710,629 | 67,825,163 |
| 4 | International Transmission Co | 782,973 | 46,222,674 | 47,005,647 |
| 5 | Tuscola Bay Wind LLC | n/a | 45,048,600 | 45,048,600 |
| 6 | Tuscola Wind II LLC | n/a | 29,401,800 | 29,401,800 |
| 7 | Tuscola Bay Wind II LLC | 25,217 | 12,964,900 | 12,990,117 |
| 8 | Thumb Electric Co-Op | 415,287 | 9,306,697 | 9,721,984 |
| 9 | POET Biorefining Caro | 8,256,210 | n/a | 8,256,210 |
| 10 | Dairy Farmer's of America Inc | 5,811,433 | 1,650,600 | 7,462,033 |
|  | Total | 17,808,010 | 446,553,941 | 464,361,951 |
|  | County-wide Taxable Value | 1,818,132,098 | 477,459,807 | 2,295,591,905 |
|  | Percentage of County Total | 0.98\% | 93.53\% | 20.23\% |

Statement of acreage and valuation in the year

Township or City Akron Township Almer Township Arbela Township 151,049,250 $132,476,100$ 126,464,400 $133,119,700$ 188,680,100 185,587,900 91,949,600 104,872,400 129,718,000 $160,855,800$ | 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 6 |
| $n$ |
|  |
| $\exists$ | 105,038,266 118,609,500 $95,577,600$

$112,281,900$ $228,369,300$ Total Real Property Valuations (Totals from pages 2 and 3) $\qquad$ 172,906,500 139,278,600 ,665,900 4,390,600 6,637,043 97,300,500 $2,503,800$ 14,967,600 8
2
$n$
$n$
$n$
$n$ 2,233,000 2,631,400 8
8
0
0
$\infty$
$\infty$
$\infty$ $5,998,100$
$75,441,900$
 35,517,900
 $\circ$
$\infty$
$\infty$
0
$\infty$
$\infty_{n}$ 6,093,900 made in accordance with Sections 209.1-209.8 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

| Township or City | Number of Acres <br> Assessed(Col. 1)Acres Hundredths | Total Real Property Valuations (Totals from pages 2 and 3) |  | Personal Property Valuations |  | Total Real Plus Personal Property |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Col. 2) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 3) Equalized Valuations | (Col. 4) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 5) Equalized Valuations | (Col. 6) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 7) Equalized Valuations |
| Akron Township | 31,966.00 | 172,906,500 | 172,906,500 | 62,268,900 | 62,268,900 | 235,175,400 | 235,175,400 |
| Almer Township | 21,491.00 | 139,278,600 | 139,278,600 | 3,665,900 | 3,665,900 | 142,944,500 | 142,944,500 |
| Arbela Township | 22,321.00 | 151,049,250 | 151,049,250 | 4,390,600 | 4,390,600 | 155,439,850 | 155,439,850 |
| Caro City | 1,752.00 | 132,476,100 | 132,476,100 | 6,637,043 | 6,637,043 | 139,113,143 | 139,113,143 |
| Columbia Township | 22,680.00 | 126,464,400 | 126,464,400 | 97,300,500 | 97,300,500 | 223,764,900 | 223,764,900 |
| Dayton Township | 22,915.00 | 133,119,700 | 133,119,700 | 2,503,800 | 2,503,800 | 135,623,500 | 135,623,500 |
| Denmark Township | 22,338.00 | 188,680,100 | 188,680,100 | 14,967,600 | 14,967,600 | 203,647,700 | 203,647,700 |
| Elkland Township | 22,000.00 | 185,587,900 | 185,587,900 | 10,571,300 | 10,571,300 | 196,159,200 | 196,159,200 |
| Ellington Township | 23,800.00 | 91,949,600 | 91,949,600 | 2,233,000 | 2,233,000 | 94,182,600 | 94,182,600 |
| Elmwood Township | 22,961.00 | 104,872,400 | 104,872,400 | 2,631,400 | 2,631,400 | 107,503,800 | 107,503,800 |
| Fairgrove Township | 22,808.00 | 129,718,000 | 129,718,000 | 84,870,800 | 84,870,800 | 214,588,800 | 214,588,800 |
| Fremont Township | 22,359.00 | 160,855,800 | 160,855,800 | 5,998,100 | 5,998,100 | 166,853,900 | 166,853,900 |
| Gilford Township | 21,529.00 | 114,516,600 | 114,516,600 | 75,441,900 | 75,441,900 | 189,958,500 | 189,958,500 |
| Indianfields Township | 19,243.00 | 105,038,266 | 105,038,266 | 8,022,200 | 8,022,200 | 113,060,466 | 113,060,466 |
| Juniata Township | 22,661.00 | 118,609,500 | 118,609,500 | 35,517,900 | 35,517,900 | 154,127,400 | 154,127,400 |
| Kingston Township | 22,267.00 | 95,577,600 | 95,577,600 | 3,024,444 | 3,024,444 | 98,602,044 | 98,602,044 |
| Koylton Township | 22,618.00 | 112,281,900 | 112,281,900 | 3,082,800 | 3,082,800 | 115,364,700 | 115,364,700 |
| Willington Township | 22,284.00 | 228,369,300 | 228,369,300 | 6,093,900 | 6,093,900 | 234,463,200 | 234,463,200 |
| Totals for County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Statement of acreage and valuation in the year 2024

| Township or City | Number of Acres Assessed | Total Real Property Valuations (Totals from pages 2 and 3) |  | Personal Property Valuations |  | Total Real Plus Personal Property |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Col. 1) Acres Hundredths | (Col. 2) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 3) <br> Equalized Valuations | (Col. 4) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 5) Equalized Valuations | (Col. 6) Assessed Valuations | (Col. 7) Equalized Valuations |
| Novesta Township | 22,651.00 | 91,443,300 | 91,443,300 | 2,472,200 | 2,472,200 | 93,915,500 | 93,915,500 |
| Tuscola Township | 20,287.00 | 158,018,400 | 158,018,400 | 14,822,350 | 14,822,350 | 172,840,750 | 172,840,750 |
| Vassar City | 1,267.00 | 74,446,900 | 74,446,900 | 7,496,100 | 7,496,100 | 81,943,000 | 81,943,000 |
| Vassar Township | 22,938.00 | 168,782,299 | 168,782,299 | 9,561,730 | 9,561,730 | 178,344,029 | 178,344,029 |
| Watertown Township | 22,416.00 | 113,833,500 | 113,833,500 | 7,588,640 | 7,588,640 | 121,422,140 | 121,422,140 |
| Wells Township | 20,948.00 | 94,206,900 | 94,206,900 | 2,763,300 | 2,763,300 | 96,970,200 | 96,970,200 |
| Wisner Township | 11,935.00 | 69,572,650 | 69,572,650 | 3,533,400 | 3,533,400 | 73,106,050 | 73,106,050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sim_{0}$ Totals for County | 512,435.00 | 3,261,655,465 | 3,261,655,465 | 477,459,807 | 477,459,807 | 3,739,115,272 | 3,739,115,272 |

Michigan Department of Treasury, STC
608 (Rev. 3-02)
L-4024
Township or City
Commercial
836,300 53,047,800 63,213,500 106,368,850
84,371,400 30,701,300 87,959,500 92,243,100 98,528,700 58,481,200 31,190,300 42,185,600 123,556,000 21,604,700 82,776,400 75,213,200 56,787,500 79,632,900
184,015,300
Statement of acreage and valuation in the year_2024_made in accordance with Sections 209.1-209.8 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.
Real Property Equalized by County Board of Commissioners
(Col. 5)
Timber-Cutover
Total Real Property
172,906,500
139,278,600
151,049,250
$132,476,100$
126,464,400
133,119,700
188,680,100
185,587,900
009'6t6'I6
104,872,400
129,718,000
160,855,800
114,516,600
105,038,266
118,609,500 $95,577,600$
$112,281,900$

もてOt-7

|  | Real Property Equalized by County Board of Commissioners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township or City | (Col. 1) Agricultural | (Col. 2) Commercial | (Col. 3) Industrial | (Col. 4) Residential | (Col. 5) <br> Timber-Cutover | (Col. 6) Developmental | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Col. 7) } \\ \text { Total Real Property } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Novesta Township | 41,294,600 | 953,400 |  | 49,195,300 |  |  | 91,443,300 |
| Tuscola Township | 66,983,600 | 3,912,700 | 2,065,100 | 85,057,000 |  |  | 158,018,400 |
| Vassar City | 184,500 | 10,080,000 | 6,591,600 | 57,590,800 |  |  | 74,446,900 |
| Vassar Township | 10,674,600 | 4,131,600 | 5,027,100 | 148,948,999 |  |  | 168,782,299 |
| Watertown Township | 22,826,500 | 299,200 | 1,513,300 | 89,194,500 |  |  | 113,833,500 |
| Wells Township | 21,909,400 | 372,600 | 422,700 | 71,502,200 |  |  | 94,206,900 |
| Wisner Township | 47,250,400 | 592,300 |  | 21,729,950 |  |  | 69,572,650 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals for County | 1,197,349,400 | 119,400,866 | 49,809,200 | 1,895,095,999 | 0 | 0 | 3,261,655,465 |

Michigan Department of Treasury, STC
608 (Rev. 3-02)
Statement of acreage and valuation in the year_2024_made in accordance with Sections 209.1-209.8 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

| Township or City | Real Property Assessed Valuations Approved by Boards of Review |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Col. 1) Agricultural | (Col. 2) Commercial | (Col. 3) Industrial | (Col. 4) Residential | (Col. 5) Timber-Cutover | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Col. 6) } \\ \text { Developmental } \end{gathered}$ | (Col. 7) Total Real Property |
| Akron Township | 115,981,200 | 836,300 | 3,041,200 | 53,047,800 |  |  | 172,906,500 |
| Almer Township | 68,728,800 | 7,336,300 |  | 63,213,500 |  |  | 139,278,600 |
| Arbela Township | 42,550,700 | 1,529,900 | 599,800 | 106,368,850 |  |  | 151,049,250 |
| Caro City |  | 37,634,400 | 10,470,300 | 84,371,400 |  |  | 132,476,100 |
| Columbia Township | 94,218,300 | 1,157,700 | 387,100 | 30,701,300 |  |  | 126,464,400 |
| Dayton Township | 44,702,500 | 457,700 |  | 87,959,500 |  |  | 133,119,700 |
| Denmark Township | 88,759,900 | 5,433,300 | 2,243,800 | 92,243,100 |  |  | 188,680,100 |
| Elkland Township | 63,726,000 | 13,436,200 | 9,897,000 | 98,528,700 |  |  | 185,587,900 |
| Ellington Township | 32,887,100 | 581,300 |  | 58,481,200 |  |  | 91,949,600 |
| Elmwood Township | 73,034,400 | 436,300 | 211,400 | 31,190,300 |  |  | 104,872,400 |
| Fairgrove Township | 85,881,200 | 879,900 | 771,300 | 42,185,600 |  |  | 129,718,000 |
| Fremont Township | 28,174,600 | 8,344,500 | 780,700 | 123,556,000 |  |  | 160,855,800 |
| Gilford Township | 91,878,000 | 475,100 | 558,800 | 21,604,700 |  |  | 114,516,600 |
| Indianfields Township | 9,556,600 | 11,084,966 | 1,620,300 | 82,776,400 |  |  | 105,038,266 |
| Juniata Township | 42,485,200 | 863,800 | 47,300 | 75,213,200 |  |  | 118,609,500 |
| Kingston Township | 37,683,400 | 879,700 | 227,000 | 56,787,500 |  |  | 95,577,600 |
| Koylton Township | 31,734,600 | 858,800 | 55,600 | 79,632,900 |  |  | 112,281,900 |
| Millington Township | 34,243,300 | 6,832,900 | 3,277,800 | 184,015,300 |  |  | 228,369,300 |
| Totals for County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Totals for County

Michigan Department of Treasury, STC
608 (Rev. 3-02)
The instructions for completing this form are on the reverse side of page 3.
Assessed Valuations - REAL
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OFFICE OF THE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF

This form is due on or before the first Monday in May to the State Tax Commission (STC) (MCL 209.5 (2)) by attaching a signed L-4024 into the Michigan Equalization Gateway (MEG) filing cabinet and submitting the L-4024 form in the MEG county portal by following the instructions below.
The MEG system will autogenerate the L-4023 following the successful save and submission of all local uni L-4018 and L-4022AV forms by the county and the acceptance of those forms by Property Services Divisions (PSD) staff. MEG will auto-generate the L-4024 form upon the successful save and submission of the L-4023 form by the county and the acceptance of the L-4023 form by PSD staff. All data on the L-4024 is populated from the previously submitted L-4023, except for the number of acres. Counties must manually enter the assessable acreage for each local unit.
The county must review, in the MEG county portal the L-4024 valuation data for each local unit as well as the county totals. The county shall verify the valuation and acreage data in the MEG county portal then save the L-4024 in the L-4024 form module thereby creating an L-4024 PDF rendering. The county shall print this PDF rendering and present it to your County Board of Commissioners for signing during their equalization session.
$\Phi$ © 209.5 requires the Equalization Director and the Chairperson and Clerk of the County Board of Commissioners to sign the L-4024. After signing, scan and upload the signed form to the filing cabinet in the MEG county portal. After the paper copy of the L-4024 has been signed, scanned and uploaded to the filing cabinet in MEG, submit the L-4024 in the MEG county Rprtal. Once submitted, the L-4024 report will be locked in MEG. To make subsequent changes please contact the Property Services Division at equalization@michigan.gov.

[^1]Michigan Department of Treasury
2795
Taxable Valuations, Tuscola County
Issued under the General Property Tax Act, Section 211.27d. Filing is mandatory.

| Real Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township or City | (Col. 1) Agricultural | (Col. 2) Commercial | (Col. 3) Industrial | (Col. 4) Residential | (Col. 5) Timber-Cutover | (Col. 6) Developmental | (Col. 7) Total Real Property |
| Akron | 45,559,061 | 804,431 | 2,980,843 | 27,884,410 | 0 | 0 | 77,228,745 |
| Almer | 24,947,494 | 6,537,335 | 0 | 42,670,810 | 0 | 0 | 74,155,639 |
| Arbela | 21,439,040 | 1,172,360 | 100,708 | 62,537,062 | 0 | 0 | 85,249,170 |
| Columbia | 39,033,523 | 1,024,805 | 339,369 | 20,900,319 | 0 | 0 | 61,298,016 |
| Dayton | 17,142,424 | 358,639 | 0 | 50,933,951 | 0 | 0 | 68,435,014 |
| Denmark | 39,515,223 | 4,847,115 | 1,943,696 | 65,259,111 | 0 | 0 | 111,565,145 |
| Elkland | 22,346,867 | 12,486,340 | 9,197,541 | 63,028,114 | 0 | 0 | 107,058,862 |
| Ellington | 12,892,267 | 529,641 | 0 | 36,983,944 | 0 | 0 | 50,405,852 |
| Elmwood | 25,577,973 | 363,763 | 129,508 | 21,761,058 | 0 | 0 | 47,832,302 |
| Fairgrove | 35,839,240 | 745,787 | 631,555 | 25,843,645 | 0 | 0 | 63,060,227 |
| Fremont | 12,112,044 | 6,594,453 | 728,237 | 74,220,425 | 0 | 0 | 93,655,159 |
| Gilford | 38,583,610 | 390,394 | 535,347 | 13,252,231 | 0 | 0 | 52,761,582 |
| Indianfields | 3,738,314 | 9,534,679 | 1,398,787 | 56,579,747 | 0 | 0 | 71,251,527 |
| Juniata | 15,940,558 | 822,511 | 16,306 | 40,951,061 | 0 | 0 | 57,730,436 |
| Kingston | 14,086,844 | 768,061 | 59,492 | 33,272,814 | 0 | 0 | 48,187,211 |
| Koylton | 13,116,150 | 810,120 | 52,640 | 45,054,811 | 0 | 0 | 59,033,721 |
| Millington | 15,672,501 | 5,952,398 | 2,928,436 | 115,737,864 | 0 | 0 | 140,291,199 |
| Novesta | 17,598,967 | 759,024 | 0 | 31,474,043 | 0 | 0 | 49,832,034 |
| Tuscola | 27,239,972 | 3,710,337 | 1,548,328 | 54,489,720 | 0 | 0 | 86,988,357 |
| Vassar | 5,338,677 | 3,772,490 | 4,457,717 | 93,313,592 | 0 | 0 | 106,882,476 |
| Watertown | 10,782,583 | 276,805 | 750,582 | 53,963,664 | 0 | 0 | 65,773,634 |
| Wells | 11,068,022 | 275,221 | 354,706 | 45,371,933 | 0 | 0 | 57,069,882 |
| Wisner | 17,684,218 | 536,184 | 0 | 13,329,334 | 0 | 0 | 31,549,736 |
| Caro | 0 | 31,012,748 | 9,856,729 | 56,762,886 | 0 | 0 | 97,632,363 |
| Vassar City | 113,397 | 8,736,017 | 5,224,066 | 39,130,329 | 0 | 0 | 53,203,809 |
| Totals for County | 487,368,969 | 102,821,658 | 43,234,593 | 1,184,706,878 | 0 | 0 | 1,818,132,098 |

Michigan Department of Treasury
2795
Taxable Valuations, Tuscola County
Issued under the General Property Tax Act, Section 211.27d. Filing is mandatory.

| Personal Property |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Township or City | (Col. 8) Agricultural | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Col. 9) } \\ \text { Commercial } \end{gathered}$ | (Col. 10) Industrial | (Col. 11) <br> Residential | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Col. 12) } \\ \text { Utility } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (Col. 13) Total Personal Property |
| Akron | 0 | 430,100 | 34,116,700 | 0 | 27,722,100 | 62,268,900 |
| Almer | 0 | 585,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,080,900 | 3,665,900 |
| Arbela | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,390,600 | 4,390,600 |
| Columbia | 0 | 38,700 | 86,224,000 | 0 | 11,037,800 | 97,300,500 |
| Dayton | 0 | 144,400 | 0 | 0 | 2,359,400 | 2,503,800 |
| Denmark | 0 | 568,800 | 0 | 0 | 14,398,800 | 14,967,600 |
| Elkland | 0 | 2,725,900 | 1,828,800 | 0 | 6,016,600 | 10,571,300 |
| Ellington | 0 | 7,900 | 0 | 0 | 2,225,100 | 2,233,000 |
| Elmwood | 0 | 197,900 | 0 | 0 | 2,433,500 | 2,631,400 |
| Fairgrove | 0 | 479,200 | 63,864,600 | 0 | 20,527,000 | 84,870,800 |
| Fremont | 0 | 1,173,300 | 0 | 0 | 4,824,800 | 5,998,100 |
| Gilford | 0 | 0 | 60,059,700 | 0 | 15,382,200 | 75,441,900 |
| Indianfields | 0 | 569,200 |  | 0 | 7,453,000 | 8,022,200 |
| Juniata | 0 | 186,200 | 29,350,100 | 0 | 5,981,600 | 35,517,900 |
| Kingston | 0 | 35,054 | 0 | 0 | 2,989,390 | 3,024,444 |
| Koylton | 0 | 516,700 | 0 | 0 | 2,566,100 | 3,082,800 |
| Millington | 0 | 1,009,200 | 507,300 | 0 | 4,577,400 | 6,093,900 |
| Novesta | 0 | 144,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,328,200 | 2,472,200 |
| Tuscola | 0 | 840,800 | 800,900 | 0 | 13,180,650 | 14,822,350 |
| Vassar | 0 | 957,550 | 37,810 | 0 | 8,566,370 | 9,561,730 |
| Watertown | 0 | 73,460 | 7,060 | 0 | 7,508,120 | 7,588,640 |
| Wells | 0 | 55,700 | 1,047,700 | 0 | 1,659,900 | 2,763,300 |
| Wisner | 0 | 80,500 | 635,700 | 0 | 2,817,200 | 3,533,400 |
| Caro | 0 | 3,769,989 | 0 | 0 | 2,867,054 | 6,637,043 |
| Vassar City | 0 | 2,840,300 | 0 | 0 | 4,655,800 | 7,496,100 |
| Totals for County | 0 | 17,429,853 | 278,480,370 | 0 | 181,549,584 | 477,459,807 |

Michigan Department of Treasury
Taxable Valuations, Tuscola County
Issued under the General Property Tax Act, Section 211.27d. Filing is mandatory.

| Township or City | (Col. 14) <br> Total Real and Personal Property Taxable Valuations | (Col. 15) <br> Homeowner's Principal <br> Residence \& Qualified <br> Agricultural \& Qualified Forest Property Taxable Valuations | (Col. 16) Commercial Personal Property Taxable Valuations | (Col. 17) Industrial Personal Property Taxable Valuations | (Col. 18) <br> Non-Homestead and NonQualified Agricultural and NonQualified Forest Personal Property Taxable Valuations except Commercial and Industrial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Akron | 139,497,645 | 65,075,114 | 430,100 | 34,116,700 | 39,875,731 |
| Almer | 77,821,539 | 62,794,417 | 585,000 | 0 | 14,442,122 |
| Arbela | 89,639,770 | 76,725,393 | 0 | 0 | 12,914,377 |
| Columbia | 158,598,516 | 57,977,599 | 38,700 | 86,224,000 | 14,358,217 |
| Dayton | 70,938,814 | 49,349,493 | 144,400 | 0 | 21,444,921 |
| Denmark | 126,532,745 | 99,297,846 | 568,800 | 0 | 26,666,099 |
| Elkland | 117,630,162 | 75,619,693 | 2,725,900 | 1,828,800 | 37,455,769 |
| Ellington | 52,638,852 | 43,855,995 | 7,900 | 0 | 8,774,957 |
| Elmwood | 50,463,702 | 44,699,617 | 197,900 | 0 | 5,566,185 |
| Fairgrove | 147,931,027 | 58,051,558 | 479,200 | 63,864,600 | 25,535,669 |
| Fremont | 99,653,259 | 74,058,754 | 1,173,300 | 0 | 24,421,205 |
| Gilford | 128,203,482 | 50,472,520 | 0 | 60,059,700 | 17,671,262 |
| Indianfields | 79,273,727 | 54,078,322 | 569,200 | 0 | 24,626,205 |
| Juniata | 93,248,336 | 51,387,694 | 186,200 | 29,350,100 | 12,324,342 |
| Kingston | 51,211,655 | 39,314,284 | 35,054 | 0 | 11,862,317 |
| Koylton | 62,116,521 | 45,552,733 | 516,700 | 0 | 16,047,088 |
| Millington | 146,385,099 | 115,100,039 | 1,009,200 | 507,300 | 29,768,560 |
| Novesta | 52,304,234 | 42,162,540 | 144,000 | 0 | 9,997,694 |
| Tuscola | 101,810,707 | 77,181,910 | 840,800 | 800,900 | 22,987,097 |
| Vassar | 116,444,206 | 84,639,990 | 957,550 | 37,810 | 30,808,856 |
| Watertown | 73,362,274 | 55,652,695 | 73,460 | 7,060 | 17,629,059 |
| Wells | 59,833,182 | 44,192,357 | 55,700 | 1,047,700 | 14,537,425 |
| Wisner | 35,083,136 | 27,315,132 | 80,500 | 635,700 | 7,051,804 |
| Caro | 104,269,406 | 46,095,688 | 3,769,989 | 0 | 54,403,729 |
| Vassar City | 60,699,909 | 33,909,606 | 2,840,300 | 0 | 23,950,003 |
| Totals for County | 2,295,591,905 | 1,474,560,989 | 17,429,853 | 278,480,370 | 525,120,693 |

# [EXTERNAL] Solutions for Aggressive/Loose Dog Issues 

Neil Hammerbacher [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org)
Wed, Apr 17, 2024 at 9:29 AM
To: Neil Hammerbacher [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org)

Forwarded message<br>---------<br>From: Stephanie Spencer [stephlynnspencer@gmail.com](mailto:stephlynnspencer@gmail.com)<br>Date: Tue, Apr 16, 2024 at 3:25 PM<br>Subject: [EXTERNAL] Solutions for Aggressive/Loose Dog Issues<br>To: nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org)

Hello Mr. Hammerbacher,
Here are my suggested solutions to prevent aggressive dogs from getting loose/harming others in Tuscola County:

1. Instruct Animal Control to stop giving "warnings" to owners when they admit their dog was loose/roaming. Honor the Dog Ordinance and issue a fine. Otherwise, revise the Dog Ordinance so there's a "First Offense" Violation Fine, and then increase the amount for Second and Third Violations.
2. Revise the Dog Ordinance so that a dog can be confiscated after a certain number of violations.
3. Increase requirements for the "Dangerous Animal Fee in Addition to License Fee." Currently someone can license a "Dangerous Animal" for an additional $\$ 25$. I believe people who choose to own a Dangerous Animal in Tuscola County should be required to carry liability insurance that will cover the medical care of anyone harmed by their dog--and should be required to submit proof of that insurance in order to re-license their dog.
4. Insist that Tuscola County Animal Control Shelter euthanize aggressive dogs instead of attempting to re-home to maintain "No Kill Shelter" status. Per Katrina McCombs, Kennel Attendant at Tuscola County Animal Control Shelter, TCACS is "not a kill shelter." And "no kill meaning less than $10 \%$. Since it's the end of the year they can go up to the $10 \%$." Two aggressive dogs that normally would've been held for adoption were euthanized because it was December; an aggressive dog should be euthanized for the safety of the community, regardless of the month of the year/the percentage of dogs already euthanized that year. (see attached screenshot)
5. Require Animal Control to document how many dogs are returned due to aggression. When I asked how many dogs were returned due to aggression, they said "Reasons for returning the dog may vary, but can include aggression, or disobedience issues." Citizens have a right to know the history of a dog before adopting. If someone returns a dog due to aggression, the aggression should be documented and reported to anyone considering adoption. A form that states, "I have been informed by Tuscola County Animal Control Shelter that the dog I am adopting was previously returned due to aggression" would ensure that people are being informed of the dog's temperament.

Thank you so much for taking the time to listen to my concerns; I greatly appreciate it.
Stephanie Spencer

Virus-free.www.avg.com
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## Responses to Stephanie Spencer:

1. Animal Control Officers, as with other law enforcement personnel, do have the authority to give warnings as they feel fit.
Accidents happen, sometimes unintentionally, dogs get loose (chain broke or a child let the dog out of the house) If the owner is sincere about the mistake they made and are actively trying to get their dog contained, usually a warning is issued. Along with every verbal warning, the dog owner is informed that if the problem continues, they will be issued a notice of violation under the Tuscola County Dog Ordinance.
2. This was discussed at the time the Dog Ordinance was being published. I proposed the idea to the County's legal representation at the time, about implementing a "nuisance dog" section. This would be directed towards the repeat negligent dog owners that are constantly allowing the dogs to run at large. To summarize, this section would state that any dog with a pre-determined amount of running at large violations set by the County, would be labeled a "nuisance" and could be seized by Animal Control. Our legal reps were not in favor of this idea and decided to leave it out of the dog ordinance.
3. MCL 287.322 - the owner/defendant shall obtain and maintain liability insurance coverage sufficient to protect the public from any damage or harm caused by the animal whenever possible.
(See previous stipulations required by the courts for Dangerous Dog)
4. We do not euthanize dogs to obtain a no-kill shelter status. The two Rottweilers that you are referring to were a court case and needed to be housed at Tuscola County Animal Shelter until the trial had concluded. The dogs' owner ended up surrendering both dogs before they were sentenced, then the decision to euthanize the male was made. However, the female passed our temperament tests and was eventually adopted out.
5. If any dog is returned to Tuscola County Animal Shelter, we specifically ask the adopter for their reason for returning. If the dog is returned for showing signs of aggressive tendencies, (growling or hair standing up) it is documented, and the dog is temperament tested again. If the dog does not pass our tests, they will be deemed "unadoptable" and ultimately destroyed.

An example of this is Jett, a 3-year-old Treeing Walker Coonhound that passed all of our tests. He was adopted by a family out of county, after their meet and greet went exceptionally well. About a week later, the adopter called TCAC to inform us that Jett had bit the neighbor and their child. Without question, we offered to take Jett back to Tuscola County Animal Shelter, where he was labeled "unadoptable and a liability to the public" Jett was euthanized a few days later.

We do not have a document for the new adopter to sign, stating that they have been informed of the dog's behavioral issues, but we are very transparent when it comes to such crucial information. I would be happy to publish such a form.

Year after year, TCAC has a euthanasia rate of no more than $5 \%$. Which means we don't struggle to stay under the no-kill limit of $10 \%$. If Mrs. Spencer is accusing us of only euthanizing dogs because it is the end of the year and we have "room to spare" in our annual euthanasia rate, it is simply false.

## LEGAL CORNER

## How does commissioner compensation work with 4 -year terms?

BY MATT NORDFJORD/COHL, STOKER AND TOSKEY P.C.

Matt Nordfjord is a principal with the firm of Cohl, Stoker and Toskey P.C. and speaks regularly at MAC events on the legal details of the Open Meetings Act and Freedom of Information Act.
"That is because commissioner compensation for the term commencing Jan. 1, 2025, and ending Dec. 31, 2028, must be established before Dec. 31, 2024, and cannot be changed during that entire four-year period..."

As provided by 2021 PA 122, the term of office for county commissioners is increased from two years to four years beginning with the November 2024 general election, i.e., for the term commencing Jan. 1, 2025. See MCL 46.410(2). This raises concerns as to the timing of setting compensation for County Commissioners, and changes to compensation over the course of a four-year term of office.

Compensation for commissioners is governed by MCL 46.415, which states, in part:
(1) A member of the county board of commissioners shall receive the compensation and mileage reimbursement fixed by resolution of the county board of commissioners or for a county which has a county officers compensation commission, fixed by a determination of the county officers compensation commission which is not rejected.
(2) The per mile mileage reimbursement fixed by the county board of commissioners or the county officers compensation commission shall not exceed the mileage reimbursement
set for state officers as determined by the state officers compensation commission.

The term "compensation" as used in MCL 46.415(1) includes fringe benefits such as retirement benefits (unlike the term "salary" used in the statutes relating to other County elected officials). Per diem payments are also included in the term "compensation." However, "compensation" does not include mileage reimbursement. MCL 46.415(6).

Therefore, according to MCL 46.415(1), if there is no county officers compensation commission, the County Board of Commissioners has the exclusive authority to fix the compensation of the members of the Board of Commissioners, including the per diem payments, under the following requirements.

Changes in compensation of County Commissioners are also governed by MCL 46.415, which provides in relevant part:
(3) Except as provided under subsection
(5), changes in compensation shall become effective only after the time members of the county board of commissioners commence their terms of office after a

Page 37 of 87
general election, provided that it is voted upon before the commencement of the new terms of office, or for a county which has a county officers compensation commission, after the beginning of the first odd numbered year after the determination is made by the county officers compensation commission and is not rejected.
(4) This section shall not be construed to prohibit a structured change in compensation implemented in phases over the term of office. (Emphasis added)

Thus, state law provides that changes in compensation for county commissioners can only become effective after the commissioners commence a new term of office after a general election (i.e., Jan. 1 of an odd-numbered year after a general election). However, the change must be voted upon before the commencement of the new term (i.e., on or before Dec. 31).

In 2005, this restriction on changes to commissioner compensation during a two-year term was alleviated by the
addition of MCL 46.415(4), which allowed for a structured change in compensation to be implemented in phases over the term of office. With the recent statutory amendment increasing the county commissioner term of office to four years, consideration of a structured compensation schedule becomes more important.

That is because commissioner compensation for the term commencing Jan. 1, 2025, and ending Dec. 31, 2028, must be established before Dec. 31, 2024, and cannot be changed during that entire four-year period; including but not limited to linking a future change to a matter the Board of Commissioners would approve during their current four-year term (e.g., a "me too" with employee cost of living increases is not permitted if the employee increase is approved by the Board of Commissioners during their current four year term). However, by employing a structured compensation schedule that is fixed, salaries and fringe benefits for Commissioners may be scheduled for increases in phases over the course of the four-year term, e.g., annually or biannually.

## At Nationwide, participant priorities are our priorities.

From enrollment through retirement, our people, tools and education support participants so they can confidently make smart decisions.

To find out more, call: Ken Kelbel
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- kelbelk@nationwide.com
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REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE REPORT FOR TUSCOLA COUNTY

## User: TCACHAMMN

DB: Tuscola County
PERIOD ENDING 12/31/2023

GL NUMBER
DESCRIPTION
NORMAL (ABNORMAL)

YTD BALANCE 12/31/2023

ACTIVITY FOR
2023
NORMAL (ABNORMAL)

AMENDED BUDGET

## Fund 101 - GENERAL FUND

## Expenditures

Dept 101 - BOARD OF COMMISSIONER

101-101-703.000 101-101-703.020 101-101-707.000 101-101-710.000 101-101-711.000 101-101-715.000 101-101-717.000 101-101-718.000 101-101-718.100 101-101-727.000 101-101-809.000 101-101-809.000 101-101-851.010 101-101-861.000 101-101-901.000 101-101-957.000

SALARIES SUPERVISION
HEALTH INSURANCE INCENTIVE SALARIES - PER DIEM WORKERS COMPENSATION HEALTH \& DENTAL INSURANCE F.I.C.A.

LIFE INSURANCE
RETIREMENT
POB IN LIEU OF RETIREMENT SUPPLIES, PRINTING \& POSTAGE MEMBERSHIPS \& SUBSCRIPTIONS CELLULAR PHONE
TRAVEL
ADVERTISING EMPLOYEE TRAINING

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

Fund 101 - GENERAL FUND:
TOTAL REVENUES
TOTAL EXPENDITURES
NET OF REVENUES \& EXPENDITURES

Total Dept 101 - BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

OR

| 68,399.69 | 73,237.00 | 70,412.00 | 70,410.87 | 6,770.37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,038.39 | 0.00 | 4,000.00 | 3,999.84 | 384.63 |
| 5,424.97 | 0.00 | 7,500.00 | 9,300.02 | 2,450.02 |
| 796.96 | 1,465.00 | 1,465.00 | 1,488.50 | 114.50 |
| 64,747.18 | 89,000.00 | 42,900.00 | 42,973.72 | 0.00 |
| 4,695.74 | 5,603.00 | 5,603.00 | 5,449.26 | 639.10 |
| 157.80 | 158.00 | 158.00 | 150.20 | 11.25 |
| 14,370.72 | 10,638.00 | 10,638.00 | 10,858.48 | 903.96 |
| 11,105.77 | 15,120.00 | 12,120.00 | 11,142.28 | 934.16 |
| 765.76 | 1,000.00 | 500.00 | 421.79 | 45.00 |
| 10,346.89 | 11,000.00 | 11,000.00 | 10,346.89 | 0.00 |
| 2,004.91 | 2,140.00 | 900.00 | 899.21 | 73.50 |
| 1,971.69 | 6,600.00 | 2,540.00 | 2,051.93 | 342.90 |
| 2,929.50 | 2,000.00 | 3,700.00 | 4,053.60 | 1,233.60 |
| 334.25 | 1,000.00 | 2,300.00 | 2,171.99 | 0.00 |
| 190,090.22 | 218,961.00 | 175,736.00 | 175,718.58 | 13,902.99 |
| 190,090.22 | 218,961.00 | 175,736.00 | 175,718.58 | 13,902.99 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 190,090.22 | 218,961.00 | 175,736.00 | 175,718.58 | 13,902.99 |
| (190,090.22) | $(218,961.00)$ | $(175,736.00)$ | $(175,718.58)$ | $(13,902.99)$ |

## Tuscola County Millage Language

Snyder, Christine [csnyder@clarkhill.com](mailto:csnyder@clarkhill.com)
Wed, Apr 17, 2024 at 1:22 PM
To: Neil Hammerbacher [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org), "Axe, John R" [jaxe@clarkhill.com](mailto:jaxe@clarkhill.com)

Neil,

Good Afternoon, this will confirm Mr. Axe's call that he has
reviewed the five Millage Provisions and approved the same, to be used on the Ballot for the August Primary Election, in Tuscola County. He looks forward to working with you, as needed, in the future.

Thank you!

## Christine Snyder

Legal Administrative Assistant

## Clark Hill

500 Woodward Avenue, Suite 3500, Detroit, MI 48226
+1 313.309 .9483 (office) | + 1313.965 .8252 (fax)
csnyder@clarkhill.com \| www.clarkhill.com

From: Neil Hammerbacher [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org)
Sent: Wednesday, April 17, 2024 10:30 AM
To: Axe, John R [jaxe@clarkhill.com](mailto:jaxe@clarkhill.com); Snyder, Christine [csnyder@clarkhill.com](mailto:csnyder@clarkhill.com)
Subject: Tuscola County Millage Language

## [External Message]

[Quoted text hidden]

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the message sender. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

## FUND BALANCE FOR THE GENERAL FUND Adopted 8-12-03

## 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this financial policy is to establish a level of reserve within the General Fund to preserve fiscal stability. The level of Fund Balance prescribed within this policy is designed to ensure adequate cash flow for operations and adequate reserves for contingency and emergency purposes. The authority to establish Fund Balance levels is with the Tuscola County Board of Commissioners. The Board of Commissioners and Controller/ Administrator shall be responsible for the implementation of this policy.

## 2. POLICY

The General Fund shall maintain a minimum unreserved-undesignated fund balance of approximately 10\% of the most current Board approved General Fund Budget.

This template provides the basics of a reserve policy. You should adjust this policy to fit your government's needs. For further guidance, refer to the GFOA book "Financial Policies." The book describes the elements in this template plus additional options.

## Why a Reserve Policy Is Important

A reserve provides protection from risk. [Name of your government] faces risks like revenue shortfalls during recessions and losses from extreme events, like [relevant examples of natural disasters your government could experience]. Reserves help make sure that [name of your government] can respond quickly and decisively to extreme events. Reserves also support vital public services during revenue declines. A reserve policy describes how much we will try to retain in our reserve. It also describes acceptable uses of reserves.

## The Size of [Name of your government]'s Reserve

[Name of your government] will try to hold the following amounts in reserves. The amount of money held in reserve is stated as a percent of revenues. [Expenses can be used too. A government will want to select one that is a reliable indicator of its ongoing budget]. This is so that [name of your government]'s reserve stays consistent with the size of the budget over time.

- At a minimum, the reserve will be equal to at least [ X$] \%$ of revenues [or expenses]. [The size of the reserves should be based on an analysis of the types of risk your government is trying to manage with reserves. A more general guideline for a minimum is $16 \%-17 \%$.]
- The maximum size of the reserves is [Y]\% of revenues [or expenses]. [Naming a maximum gives the government a range of reserves to manage within.]
[Name of your government]'s finance department will conduct long-range forecasting to decide if [name of your government] is likely to stay within its range of reserves.

If the minimum reserve is not kept during the forecast period, the [name of position] will develop a plan to bring the reserve to the desired amount. This plan will be offered to [name of elected governing board] for consideration.

If the maximum reserve is exceeded during the forecast period, [name of your government] may spend the excess reserves. Reserves should be treated as a one-time revenue, as per [name of your government]'s policy on one-time revenues.

## Acceptable Uses of Reserves

Reserves are meant to address unexpected, nonrecurring costs. Reserves should not be used for recurring annual operating costs. An exception is poor economic conditions or events that disrupt [name of your government]'s revenues. In such cases, reserves may be used to provide short-term relief so that [name of your government] can restructure its operations in an orderly manner.

## Authority to Use Reserves

The [decision-maker who has this authority] may authorize the use of reserves for purposes consistent with this policy.

## Replenishment of Reserves

If [name of your government] uses its reserves and those reserves are below the allowed maximum, then the [position responsible for managing reserves] will propose a plan for the replacement of the reserves. [Name of elected governing board] will review and approve the plan. [Name of your government] will try to replace the reserves within the minimum amount of time that is practical.
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## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

### 1.1 Policies and Procedures

Best Practice 1.1 assesses a community's financial structure by evaluating the policies and procedures that have been set in place. These policies and procedures help to reduce the risk of inefficiencies and fraud. Having clear purchasing, investment, and receipting policies ensures uniformity across departments, simplifying accounting procedures. Additionally, it is important for the local unit to review their financial position regularly to address changing circumstances as they occur. For example, it is important to note the differences between the budgeted and actual expenses and evaluate whether the budget needs to be amended. Sound budgeting is important because any activity that exceeds budgeted expenditures is a violation of Public Act 2 of 1968 , as amended.
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## Expectations:

$\square$ The local unit shall monitor their debt and prioritize debt payments.
$\square$ The local unit shall complete a comprehensive formal budget, as required by PA 2 of 1968, as amended.
$\square$ The local unit shall create a 5 -year budget forecast.
$\square$ The local unit shall create a budget-to-actual report and present the report to its governing body on a quarterly basis (Department of Treasury, 2007)
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall have a plan in place for managing costs related to retiree healthcare and/or OPEB.
$\square$ The local unit shall establish a formal policy on the level of unrestricted fund balance that should be maintained in the General fund.
o Treasury recommends that the local unit has an unrestricted fund balance of no less than 2 months of regular General fund revenues or expenditures after it considers its own circumstances.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

### 1.2 Regulations

Best Practice 1.2 assesses how well a community complies with federal, state, and local laws. Local units of government should be familiar with the laws and know where to find additional information, such as Public Act 2 of 1968. Local units of government must abide by Michigan Department of Treasury standards, creating uniform reporting across similar local units.


## Expectations:

$\square$ The local unit of government must maintain the following:
o The uniform chart of accounts
o Controlled numbered official receipts
o Controlled numbered official checks
o Detailed receipt journal
o Detailed disbursement journal
o General ledger
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall follow all federal, state, and local laws.
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall follow all Michigan Department of Treasury standards.
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall follow the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) accounting standards.
$\square$ The local unit shall complete and submit a timely annual audited financial statement (audit) (Department of Treasury, 2007).
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall complete and submit a timely Annual Local unit Fiscal Report (F65) (Department of Treasury, 2007).
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall complete and submit a timely deficit elimination plan, if applicable (Department of Treasury, 2007).
$\square \quad$ The local unit shall complete and submit a timely Qualifying Statement (Department of Treasury, 2007).
$\square \quad$ Cities, villages, and road commissions shall complete and submit a timely Act 51 Road and Street Financial Report (Department of Treasury, 2007).
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### 1.3 Operational Policies and Procedures

Best Practice 1.3 assesses a community's internal controls, record keeping, and accounting. Internal controls are necessary to protect the community from waste, misappropriation of assets, and operating inefficiencies. They also ensure accuracy, timeliness, and reliability in accounting and operating data. Having strict receipting policies, deposit ticket policies, and tax collection policies, for example, leads to more effective monetary tracking.
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### 1.4 Capital Assets

Best Practice 1.4 assesses a community's capital assets and the policies implemented to protect, sell, or purchase capital assets. Each local unit shall have a written capital asset policy that addresses asset capitalization and retirement. A six-year plan for capital expenditures is necessary to properly budget for expenses. All capital expenditures must be approved by the local unit governing body.

Evaluation Criteria 1.4
Capital Assets

## Expectations:

$\square$ Local units shall have a written capital asset policy that addresses asset capitalization and asset retirement/sale practices (setting a dollar threshold and perhaps a useful life threshold).
$\square$ The purchase, addition, disposal, and sale of an asset must have prior approval from the appropriate local officials (Department of Treasury, 2007).
$\square$ The local unit shall adopt a policy to inventory and assess the condition of all major capital assets.
$\square$ Capital expenditures shall be projected for at least six years.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

### 1.5 Financial Education

Best Practice 1.5 assesses how well a community's staff are properly trained. The community shall provide training, resources, and guidance for staff to successfully complete their duties. This includes ensuring staff members have the proper certifications for their positions and are continuing to take training courses when necessary or applicable. Providing training allows employees to grow in their positions, leading to improved employee engagement.
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## Conclusion

The Fiscally Ready Communities program was created to assist communities who want to establish, measure, and maintain policies to increase operational and financial effectiveness and safeguards. This document and the supplemental guides were created as tools to discuss the recommended process and provide examples. Every community is unique, so the process and documentation should reflect the different needs and capacities of the community.

The Fiscally Ready Communities program provides assistance to Michigan Communities who are dedicated to ensuring their fiscal health through strong financial policies and protections. Local residents can continue to watch their community improve and thrive, knowing that staff members are trained and ready for success.

# Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices 

## Budget Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities Budget policy guide supplements the local government's process of establishing a budget. A detailed budget ensures that a local unit can maintain public services, while planning for their future, creating fiscal responsibility.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Budget Policy Recommendations

- It is recommended that the local unit adopt a 2-year budget annually in addition to your 5-year forecast.
o This helps a local unit evaluate their revenue and expenditures as they plan for the long term.
- This is especially important for local units with low fund balances that put them at significant risk of unexpected changes in revenues and expenditures.
- It is recommended that the local unit of government approve a budget for all major enterprise funds.

0 This is especially important for local units with low net positions or deficits within enterprise funds that put them at significant risk of unexpected changes in revenues and expenditures.
o Enterprise fund budgets must include budget depreciation.

- It is recommended that the local unit is fiscally conservative in the budgeting process.

0 This includes overestimating expenditures and underestimating revenue to prepare for unforeseen circumstances.

- This is important because any activity which exceeds budgeted expenditures is a violation of Public Act 2 of 1968, as amended.
- It is recommended that department heads participate during the internal budget process and are prepared to answer questions during the budget presentation to the governing body.


## Fiscally Ready Communities Budget Policies

The local unit shall have the following policies set in place:

- The local unit shall complete a 5-year financial plan, which includes an annual update.
o The detailed financial plan includes the following information:
- Department level revenues and expenditures.
- Revenue projections that are based on actual revenue received in recent years and future assumptions that are justifiable.
- All debt service must be accounted for in the financial plan.
- The local unit shall not fail to pay principal of or interest on any municipal securities when due or payable.
- The local unit shall project a balanced budget for the current and succeeding four fiscal years.

0 The budget must not lead to a fund deficit and shall be in accordance with Public Act 2 of 1968 , as amended.

- The budget should articulate priorities and issues for the budget cycle - this should describe significant changes in priorities.
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- The local unit should have procedures in place for amending the budget after it is adopted.
- The budget should explain long range financial plans and its effect upon the budget and budget process (National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting, 1998).
- The budget should include the financial policies and goals of the local unit, including the local unit's definition of a balanced budget (National Advisory Council on State and Local Budgeting, 1998).
- The budget should explain the effects of existing debt levels on current operations.
- The budget should include goals and objectives of organizational units and departments. The unit goals should be linked to the overall goals of the entity.

0 This should also include when the goals and objectives are expected to be accomplished.

- The budget should include funding the local unit's pension and OPEB plans following Public Act 202 of 2017, when applicable.
o Each pension system should be at least $60 \%$ funded or have an approved corrective action plan for each underfunded system.
o Each retirement healthcare system should be at least $40 \%$ funded or have an approved corrective action plan for each underfunded system.
o The local unit shall report its defined benefit funding ratios using the uniform assumptions established by the state Treasurer.
- The local unit shall review the Budget Manual created by Treasury on a regular basis because the key to sound fiscal health of governmental units is proper accounting, budgeting, and auditing of the local unit.
- The budget must be passed prior to the ensuing fiscal year.
- The local unit shall review GFOA's recommended budget practices.
- The local unit shall review GFOA's Detailed Criteria Location Guide.
- The local unit shall review the Distinguished Budget Presentation Award, to compare their budgets with other similar local governments.
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## Budget Policy Guide Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your budget policy guide needs an overhaul or a refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Budget Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The local unit annually updates a 5-year forecast. |  |  |  |
| 2 | The local unit shall project a balanced budget for the current and succeeding four fiscal years. |  |  |  |
| 3 | The budget articulates priorities and issues for the budget cycle, such as significant changes in priorities. |  |  |  |
| 4 | The local unit has procedures in place for amending the budget after it is adopted. |  |  |  |
| 5 | The budget explains long range financial plans and its effect upon the budget and budget process. |  |  |  |
| 6 | The budget contains the financial policies and goals of the local unit, including the unit's definition of a balanced budget. |  |  |  |
| 7 | The budget explains the effects of existing debt levels on current operations. |  |  |  |
| 8 | The budget includes goals and objectives of organizational units, departments, and the local unit as a whole. |  |  |  |
| 9 | The budget includes funding the local unit's pension and OPEB plans following Public Act 202 of 2017 and the uniform assumptions established by the state Treasurer. |  |  |  |
| 10 | Treasury's Budget Manual is reviewed on a on a regular basis. |  |  |  |
| 11 | The budget was passed prior to the next fiscal year. |  |  |  |
| 12 | GFOA's recommended budget practices is reviewed on a regular basis. |  |  |  |
| 13 | Review GFOA's Detailed Criteria Location Guide on a regular basis. |  |  |  |
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## Capital Improvements Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities Capital Improvements policy guide supplements the local government's process of developing a Capital Improvements Plan.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Capital Improvements

A Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act (PA 33 of 2008) for all cities, villages and some townships. A CIP must be adopted annually and show public structures and improvements in the order of their priority for the next six years. The recommendations outlined in the CIP should be based upon goals and recommendations contained with the community master plan.

PA 33 of 2008 requires the local planning commission (unless locally exempted) to develop the CIP. If the planning commission is exempted, then the legislative body or their designee must develop the CIP. If a township owns (or jointly owns) a water supply or sewage disposal system, it must have a CIP.

Michigan State University Extension has a useful checklist for the adoption of a capital improvements plan. Additional resources about a capital improvements plan can be found at MEDC's Redevelopment Ready Communities Capital Improvements guide (Michigan Economic Development Corporation, n.d.).

## Recommended Capital Improvements Policies

- A policy stating that a CIP be developed and approved prior to adoption of the annual budget so recommendations in the CIP may be prioritized for funding.
- A policy stating that the local planning commission and the legislative body must approve the CIP.

Flow Chart


# Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices 

## Cash Controls Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities Cash Controls policy guide supplements the local government's process of developing cash controls. Cash Controls are essential to reduce the risk of inefficiencies and fraud.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Recommended Cash Control Policies:

- The local government should perform ongoing cash flow analysis to ensure that they have sufficient cash liquidity to meet disbursement requirements and limit idle cash.
o This can help governments recognize issues that might have a negative impact on their cash position.
o Cash flow analysis is an essential tool for making informed management decisions.
o Local units of government should consider historical information and projected financial activity, compare actual cash flow results with projections, make conservative assumptions about cash receipts and disbursements, and monitor cash position daily.
- Common inflows include tax receipts, bond proceeds, utility payments, grants, other revenue from fees and penalties, and maturities of all securities held in investments that will mature during the forecast time frame.
- Outflows represent anticipated payments such as debt service, employee payroll or benefits, and payments to vendors for goods and services anticipated during the forecast time frame.
- Governments should also consider and accommodate non-repetitive payments such as capital expenditures or expected legal settlements, using reasonable assumptions.
o The accuracy of the cash flow forecast should be evaluated and updated at least quarterly.
o A simple forecast often works best, while maintaining the reliability and accuracy of information needed for making appropriate management decisions.
- The local government should compare actual cash flow results with projections and determine the reasons for those differences in the analysis. The cash flow analysis depends on the government's size and complexity.
o This will impact its cash liquidity position, ensuring future cash flows are more accurate.


## Fiscally Ready Communities Cash Controls Policies:

Key cash controls include:

- Bank reconciliations must be performed monthly (Department of Treasury, 2007).
o The accountant or bookkeeper shall reconcile the cash and investments recorded in the general ledger to either the treasurer's reconciliation (if it is adequately reconciled to the bank statements) or to the bank statements directly.
- The local unit shall make conservative assumptions about both the cash receipts and disbursement portions of the analysis, and update these assumptions regularly, as well after any major changes in operations (e.g., a new debt issuance at the beginning of a fiscal year).
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- The local unit shall monitor cash position daily to ensure sufficient liquidity.
- Cash should be physically secured and compared with control records. (Department of Treasury, 2007)
- The local unit shall attempt to segregate duties of custody via segregation policies. This will aid in decreasing the probability of fraud or misappropriations of monies.

0 For example, cash collection and depositing should be performed by an employee who is independent from the recordkeeping and bank reconciliation process. (Department of Treasury, 2007)

## Cash Controls Policy Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your cash control needs an overhaul or a refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Cash Control | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Perform bank reconciliations monthly. |  |  |  |
| 2 | Make conservative assumptions about both <br> the cash receipts and disbursement portions <br> of the analysis, and update these assumptions <br> regularly, as well after any major changes in <br> operations. |  |  |  |
| 3 | Monitor cash position daily to ensure <br> sufficient liquidity. |  |  |  |
| 4 | The local unit shall attempt to segregate <br> duties of custody via segregation policies. |  |  |  |
| 5 | Cash should be physically secured and <br> compared with control records. |  |  |  |
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## Debt Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities debt policy guide supplements the local government's process of monitoring their debt. Debt management policies are written guidelines, allowances, and restrictions that guide the debt issuance practices of local governments. A debt management policy should follow state, federal, and local laws and improve the quality of decisions, articulate policy goals, provide guidelines for the structure of debt issuance, prioritize debt payments, and demonstrate a commitment to long-term capital and financial planning.

When a community adheres to their debt management policy, it shows rating agencies and the capital markets that they are well managed and therefore are likely to meet their debt obligations in a timely manner. Debt management policies should be written to meet the local community's needs. Debt management policies should be approved by the local unit's governing body to provide credibility, transparency and to ensure that there is a common understanding among elected officials and staff regarding the local unit's approach to debt financing. This policy should be reviewed on a regular basis to ensure it is adhered to and updated when necessary.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Debt Policies

At a minimum the debt policy should include the following:

- The local unit shall establish debt limits for each specific issuance or acceptable ranges for each type of debt. Limits generally are set for legal, public policy, and financial reasons (Government Finance Officers Association, 2012).
o Legal restrictions may be determined by:
- State constitution or law.
- Local charter.
- By-laws.
- Resolution or ordinance.
- Covenant.
- Bond referenda approved by voters.
o Debt policies shall address the internal standards and considerations within a government. This may include:
- Purposes for which debt proceeds may be used or prohibited.
- Types of debt that may be issued or prohibited.
- Relationship to and integration with the Capital Improvement Program (CIP).
- Policy goals related to economic development, including use of tax increment financing and public-private partnerships.
- Prioritizing debt payments.
o Financial limits often are expressed as ratios customarily used by credit analysts.
o Different financial limits are used for different types of debt. Examples of debt include:
- Direct Debt (including general obligation bonds) is subject to legal requirements and may be able to be measured or limited by the following ratios:


## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

- Debt per capita.
- Debt to personal income.
- Debt to taxable property value.
- Debt service payments as a percentage of general fund revenues or expenditures.
- Revenue Debt levels often are limited by debt service coverage ratios (e.g., annual net pledged revenues to annual debt service), additional bond provisions contained in bond covenants, and potential credit rating impacts.
- Conduit Debt limitations may reflect the right of the issuing government to approve the borrower's creditworthiness, including a minimum credit rating, and the purpose of the borrowing issue.
- Short-Term Debt Issuance should describe the specific purposes and circumstances under which it can be used, as well as limitations in term or size of borrowing.
- Variable Rate Debt should include information about when using non-fixed rate debt is acceptable to the entity either due to the term of the project, market conditions, or debt portfolio structuring purposes.
0 The local unit shall establish debt structuring practices. The debt policy should include specific guidelines regarding the debt structuring practices for each type of bond, including:
- Maximum term (often stated based on the useful life of the asset(s) or in absolute terms).
- Average maturity.
- Debt service pattern such as equal payments or equal principal amortization.
- Other structuring practices should be considered, such as capitalizing interest during the construction of the project and deferral of principal, and/or other internal credit support, including general obligation pledges.
0 The local unit shall establish debt issuance practices. The debt policy should provide guidance regarding the issuance process, which may differ for each type of debt. These practices include:
- Selection and use of professional service providers, including an independent financial advisor and a bond attorney, to assist with determining the method of sale and the selection of other financing team members.
- Criteria for determining the sale method (competitive, negotiated, private placement) and investment of proceeds.
- Use of comparative bond pricing services or market indices as a benchmark in negotiated transactions, as well as to evaluate final bond pricing results.
- Criteria for issuance of advance refunding and current refunding bonds.
- Use of credit ratings, minimum bond ratings, determination of the number of ratings, and selection of rating services.
0 The local unit shall establish debt management practices. The debt policy should provide guidance for ongoing administrative activities including:
- Investment of bond proceeds.
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- Primary and secondary market disclosure practices, including annual certifications as required.
- Arbitrage rebate monitoring and filing.
- Federal and state law compliance practices.
- Ongoing market and investor relations efforts.
o The local unit shall establish a policy for the use of derivatives. The Debt Management Policy should clearly state whether or not the entity can or should use derivatives. If the policy allows for the use of derivatives, a separate and comprehensive derivatives policy should be developed (Government Finance Officers Association, 2015).
- For additional information see the following:
- GFOA's Advisory.
- GFOA's Developing a Derivatives Policy.
- GFOA's Derivatives Checklist.


## Debt Policy Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your debt policy needs an overhaul or refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Debt Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Establish debt limits for each specific issuance <br> or acceptable ranges for each type of debt. |  |  |  |
| 2 | Debt policies shall include the internal <br> standards and considerations within a <br> government (such as types of debt, purposes, <br> relationships with the capital improvements <br> plans, and policy goals). |  |  |  |
| 3 | The debt policy should include specific <br> guidelines regarding the debt structuring <br> practices for each type of bond. |  |  |  |
| 4 | Establish debt issuance practices that provide <br> guidance regarding the issuance process, <br> which may differ for each type of debt. |  |  |  |
| 5 | Establish debt management practices to <br> provide guidance for ongoing administrative <br> activities. |  |  |  |
| 6 | Establish a policy for the use of derivatives to <br> learly state whether or not the entity can or <br> should use derivatives. |  |  |  |
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Grants Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities grants policy guide supplements the local government's process of developing grants policies. Grants are a great way to increase funding for municipal projects and programs; however, they often come with strict requirements. Failure to meet the requirements can have negative consequences such as losing the funding and eligibility for additional grants. Therefore, Fiscally Ready Communities recommends creating grants policies. These policies are essential to ensure the local government can properly oversee grants and meet all the grant requirements.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Grant Recommended Policies:

- The local unit should establish a grants administration committee to ensure there is proper administrative and operational support.

0 A grants policy should also include a requirement that the government obtains a detailed understanding of the terms and conditions and how the grant will be monitored

0 Examples of what should be required include establishment of procedures related to (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013):

- The development of a project plan that would include how new programs or activities funded by the grant would be implemented and who would be responsible for implementation.
- The provision of training for those responsible for the grant, so they can effectively carry out their roles.
- Terms and conditions for grant-funded personnel, such as severance and unemployment costs related to employees who are terminated upon expiration of the grant or operating and maintenance costs for assets that are acquired.
- The system/process that will be used to charge expenses against the grant and to obtain reimbursement.
- Identify the individual/department responsible for carrying out the grant and ensure that proper resources are available to support that grant.
- The local unit should promote your grant on your website and social media, if applicable.


## Fiscally Ready Communities Grant Policies

Key grant policies include:

- A policy on identifying, applying, approving, and monitoring to grants.

0 A grants policy should require that the department or agency seeking a grant provide advance notice to the appropriate authority, such as finance and/or the local board, so that the effects on the government can be reviewed and understood beforehand (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).

- Grants could affect the budget, cash flow, procurement requirements, financial reporting, compliance requirements, or legal requirements (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).


## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

0 Grant development opportunities may include, but are not limited to, partnerships with foundations; corporate philanthropy; and state, federal and local agencies.

- A policy on ensuring grants align with the strategic goals of the municipality (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).

0 A grants policy should include a requirement for assessing the extent to which a grant is consistent with the government's mission, strategic priorities, and/or adopted plans (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).
0 Accepting a grant that is not consistent with the overall strategic direction of a government creates the risk that the government will spend its own funds to support a grant inconsistent with overall strategic direction or commit the government to ownsource spending beyond the grant period (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).

- A policy on conducting a funding analysis (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).

0 The grants policy should require a multi-year cost/benefit analysis prior to application or acceptance (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).
0 The analysis should include (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013):

- matching funds (and whether or not they will need to be set aside) and any other direct costs associated with a grant, the extent to which overhead costs will be covered
- in-kind contributions.
- audit and close-out costs.
- and potential costs that might need to be incurred by the government beyond the grant period.
- The analysis should also explore whether a grant requires that general revenues or a line of credit or grant anticipation notes be used to cover the gap between cash being expended and the reimbursement that is received by the government.
- Prior to renewal or grant continuation, the grant should be evaluated.
o The grants policy should require an evaluation of the impacts of the grant-funded program or asset prior to deciding whether to continue a grant at the end of the initial grant period (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).
- Creating outcome measures before receiving a grant will help the government to determine the extent to which the grant program or asset has produced desired benefits (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).
- Such an analysis should also include a review of actual costs and the potential benefits of using general revenues associated with the grant for other purposes (Government Finance Officers Association, 2013).
- The local unit shall establish a way to monitor grant progress and ensure that all reporting deadlines are met (Government Finance Officers Association, 2015).

0 Based on the grant period, there should be multiple reviews of the budget, progress, and goals of the grant. These could occur monthly, weekly, etc.

## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

- The local unit shall establish clear lines of communication for all parties involved in the grant.
o Develop ongoing communication and knowledge of grantors, and grant partners.
o Develop an ongoing dialogue with financial statement, single audit, and program auditors concerning grant reporting and compliance.
o Develop processes to ensure that quality, supportable information is utilized in grant decision making.
- Review GFOA's Internal Controls for Grants.
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## Grants Policy Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your grants policy needs an overhaul or refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Grants Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A policy on identifying and applying to grants. |  |  |  |
| 2 | A policy on ensuring grants align with the <br> strategic goals of the municipality. |  |  |  |
| 3 | A policy on conducting a funding analysis of <br> the grant that includes a multi-year <br> cost/benefit analysis. |  |  |  |
| 4 | The grants policy should require an evaluation <br> of the impacts of the grant-funded program or <br> asset prior to deciding whether to continue a <br> grant at the end of the initial grant period. |  |  |  |
| 5 | Establish a way to monitor grant progress and <br> ensure that all reporting deadlines are met. |  |  |  |
| 6 | Establish clear lines of communication for all <br> parties involved in the grant. |  |  |  |
| 7 | Promote your grant if applicable on your <br> website and social media. |  |  |  |
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## Internal Controls Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities internal controls policy guide supplements the local government's process of establishing internal controls. Internal control policies are written guidelines, allowances, and restrictions that aid in ensuring efficient and effective operation of a local government. It is the responsibility of appropriate elected officials to ensure that the managers who report to them fulfill their responsibilities in implementing and maintaining a sound and comprehensive framework of internal control (Government Finance Officers Association, 2008).

Internal Controls protect resources against waste, misappropriation, and inefficiency. Additionally, internal controls enable a local government to sustain and improve their performance by adapting to changing environments, mitigate risk to acceptable levels, and support sound decision making. Moreover, internal controls ensure accuracy, timeliness, and reliability in accounting and operating data.

## Fiscally Ready Communities recommended policies

Every local unit of government should evaluate the cost versus benefit of adhering to the polices listed below. At a minimum, it is recommended to have the following policy:

- Attempt to segregate duties of custody via segregation policies (Department of Treasury, 2007).


## Fiscally Ready Communities required policies

At a minimum, the internal control policies shall include the following:

- A policy about the job descriptions of all key personnel.
o For example, the treasurer must do the following:
- Maintain control of all bank accounts.
- Keep track of the total cash and investments allocable to each fund.
- Reconcile these amounts to each month's bank statements in a timely manner.
- A policy that states who is authorized to approve transactions (Department of Treasury, 2007).

0 This includes ensuring transactions are authorized by a person with delegated approval authority.
o Transactions shall require two signatures on each check, which designates approval for disbursement of funds (Department of Treasury, 2007).

- The signatures should be the treasurer and clerk or their deputies.
- If the treasurer and clerk are the same person, then the controller or finance director should be the second signature.
- If the treasurer, clerk, and finance director are the same person than the CEO or Manager should be the second signature.


## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

- Some municipalities may also be required to have two signatures on each check by charter.
- A policy that forbids signing blank checks (Department of Treasury, 2007).
- A policy that outlines the appropriate documentation that must be attached to support invoices and disbursements (Department of Treasury, 2007).
o Original bills should be used for documentation when applicable.
- It is important to have a separate staff member be responsible for the recordkeeping of transactions.
- The governing body should approve all internal control policies and disbursements (Department of Treasury, 2007).
- A policy that ensures checks are not returned to the originating office for distribution (Department of Treasury, 2007).
- The local unit of government shall review the Department of Treasury's Accounting Procedures Manual.

0 Public Act 2 of 1968, as amended, requires each local unit to adhere to the Department of Treasury's Accounting Procedures Manual.

- Samples of Internal Control policies can be found on GFOA's website.


## Internal Controls Policy Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your internal controls policies needs an overhaul or refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Internal Controls Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A policy regarding who can authorize <br> transactions. |  |  |  |
| 2 | A policy that states who is authorized to <br> approve transactions. |  |  |  |
| 3 | A policy that forbids signing blank checks. |  |  |  |
| 4 | A policy about transactional recordkeeping. |  |  |  |
| 5 | A policy listing the detailed job description of <br> all elected officials. |  |  |  |
| 6 | A policy on controlling bank accounts, tracking <br> cash and investments, and timeliness of <br> reconciling accounts. |  |  |  |
| 7 | Governing body approval of internal controls <br> and disbursements. |  |  |  |
| 8 | Adhering to the Department of Treasury's <br> Accounting Procedures Manual on Internal <br> Controls. |  |  |  |
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## Purchasing and Procurement Policy Guide

The Fiscally Ready Communities purchasing policy guide supplements the local government's process of developing public purchasing guidelines. Purchasing policies are essential to reduce the risk of inefficiencies and fraud. Purchasing policies protect local units of government from paying excessive above market costs for services, conflicts of interest, and inappropriate and illegal transactions.

## Fiscally Ready Communities

At a minimum the purchasing and procurement policy shall include the following:

- A local unit shall adopt, review, or update its purchasing policy, if the local unit does not have one, or it has not been updated in over a year.
o The purchasing policy should include the date it was updated and that it has been approved for use by the local governing body.
o The policy should include different procedures based on the total cost of the project (i.e. purchases under \$2,000; purchases between \$2,000-\$9,999; purchases over $\$ 10,000$, etc.) (Traverse City, 2016).
- This should include competitive bidding for purchases over a specific dollar amount.
o The purchasing policy should include a detailed plan of action if two or more bids are equivalent, including who makes the decision and how (Bay City, n.d.).
- A local unit shall adopt a conflict of interest policy to ensure purchases are responsible and ethical. This includes confirming that all supplies and equipment are purchased with the intent and outcome to ensure best price, quality, and value.
- A local unit shall adopt an equal access and non-discrimination purchasing policy (City of Charlevoix, n.d.).
o This should include a process for reporting instances of noncompliance and evaluations of those noncompliance items (Boyne City Government, n.d.).
- This could include group review of reports with the ability to make necessary changes and actions.
- A local unit shall adopt a detailed travel and expense reimbursement policy (Bay County, 2016).

0 This includes updating the mileage reimbursement rates yearly.

- When employees use their own vehicle and request the full mileage rate, they should document why they did not use the municipality's vehicle, as well as have their supervisor sign and date the document.
o Communities should have a uniform submission process for all employees to fill out.
o The travel and expense reimbursement policy should include:
- Meals broken down by breakfast, lunch, and dinner.
- Lodging expenses, including maximum reimbursement.
- A local unit shall adopt a section about being exempt from local, state, and federal excise tax and provide the necessary documents to any vendor upon request (Bay County, 2016).


## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

- A local unit shall ensure that the authorized person who approves each invoice or request for payment has adequate knowledge and responsibility (e.g. educational background and/or professional certifications in purchasing and procurement).
- A local unit shall make contracts and the contracting process publicly available (City of Lansing, n.d.) (Traverse City, 2016).

Good purchasing policy examples can be found on MML's website.
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## Purchasing Policy Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your purchasing policy needs an overhaul or refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Purchasing Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A policy regarding the preference for <br> purchasing goods and services from businesses <br> within their jurisdiction. |  |  |  |
| 2 | Different procurement policies based on the <br> total cost of the project. |  |  |  |
| 3 | A detailed plan of action if two or more bids are <br> equivalent, including who makes the decision <br> and how. |  |  |  |
| 4 | A conflict of interest policy. |  |  |  |
| 5 | An equal access and non-discrimination <br> purchasing policy. |  |  |  |
| 6 | A detailed travel and expense reimbursement <br> policy that includes mileage, meals, and <br> lodging. |  |  |  |
| 7 | Tax exemption from local, state, and federal <br> excise tax. |  |  |  |
| 8 | Formal procurement policy. |  |  |  |
| 9 | Policy for requests for proposals (RFPs). |  |  |  |
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## Receipting Policy Guide

Fiscally Ready Communities supplements the local government's process of establishing receipting policies. Having a detailed receipting policy ensures that a local unit can accurately account for all existing cash and follow the money trail.

## Fiscally Ready Communities

The local unit should have the following policies set in place:

- There must be a record of each individual cash transaction.
- Receipt books issued to other departments must be accounted for numerically.
- The use of generic or "dime store" receipts is strictly prohibited.
- The method of payment must be indicated on the receipt (cash, check, or credit card).
- The receipt should include the following 10 requirements:

1. The date of the transaction.
2. The dollar amount received.
3. The type of payment (cash, check, credit cards, etc.).
4. The purpose (garbage, labels, taxes, parcel number, etc.).
5. The payer.
6. The department receiving the cash.
7. The person accepting the cash.
8. The receipt number if applicable.
9. Invoice number if applicable.
10. The account number the cash is being deposited into.

- The receipt must allow for accurate revenue account classification in conformity with the Uniform Chart of Accounts.
- Checks must be restrictively endorsed (stamped for deposit only) at the point and time of collection.
- Bank signature cards should be kept current and the authorized signers limited (Department of Treasury, 2007).
- When cash is collected, the following policies shall be used:
o Formal evidence must be created for each collection (such as sequentially numbered cash receipt ticket, cash register receipt, etc.) and provided to payors where applicable.
o The system must include controls to ensure that every receipt is to be included in the cash receipts journal. This includes the 10 receipt requirements noted above.
- As general guidance, the cash receipts journal is maintained by the treasurer.
- Each receipt is posted to the receipt journal in numerical order to the proper bank account, fund, and revenue account.
- In a manual system, monthly totals by fund are posted from this journal to the general ledger revenue control account, which is the responsibility of the clerk.


## Fiscally Ready Communities Best Practices

o Each bank deposit (evidenced by a bank deposit slip or other documentation) must be in agreement with the cash receipts and the deposit slip (or other bank deposit support). Total currency collected must be in agreement between these two sources.
0 Deposits are required to be deposited in a reasonable timeframe (daily) (Department of Treasury, 2007).

- In instances where the amount of cash on hand is minimal, deposits may be made less frequently (weekly).
- Undeposited funds should be secured in a locked place such as a safe or vault.
o Money to be deposited should be counted and reconciled to the summary of cash collections to be sent to the accountant or bookkeeper.
- If there is a difference, a "cash overage/shortage" account should be used.
- Never make up the difference from cash in the change drawer.

0 The change drawer should never be used to cash personal checks.
0 The treasurer must provide the accountant or bookkeeper a record of all money received and the purpose of the amounts received (such as the budgeted revenue account or the receivable account).

- This may be done in summary form (example: department transmittal advices) or by individual receipt.
0 The treasurer is responsible for all cash receipts, unless the authority has been delegated to another official in a home rule city or village.
- If any employees other than the treasurer (or delegated official) of the local unit receive cash, they must do so with the approval of the treasurer and in accordance with all cash handling policies.
- All financial institutions used as depositories, must be approved by the governing body (Department of Treasury, 2007).
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## Receipting Policy Guide Review Table

Please use the table below to consider whether your receipting guide policy needs an overhaul or refresh. This document has been built so you can track where you are in the process.

| Number | Receipting Policy | Yes | No | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A policy requiring a record of each individual cash transaction. |  |  |  |
| 2 | A policy requiring numeric receipt books issued to other departments. |  |  |  |
| 3 | A policy prohibiting the use of generic or "dime store" receipts. |  |  |  |
| 4 | Receipts should include the date of the transaction; the dollar amount received; the type of payment (cash, check, credit cards, etc.); the purpose (garbage, labels, taxes, parcel number, etc.); the payer; the department receiving the cash; the person accepting the cash; the receipt number if applicable; invoice number if applicable; and the account number the cash is being deposited into. |  |  |  |
| 5 | The receipt must allow for immediate revenue account classification in conformity with the Uniform Chart of Accounts. |  |  |  |
| 6 | Checks must be restrictively endorsed (stamped for deposit only) at the time of collection. |  |  |  |
| 7 | Formal evidence must be created for each collection and provided to payors where applicable. |  |  |  |
| 8 | The system must include controls to ensure that every receipt is to be included in the cash receipts journal. |  |  |  |
| 9 | The cash receipt is maintained by the appropriate individual. |  |  |  |
| 10 | A policy ensuring deposits are deposited in a reasonable timeframe. |  |  |  |
| 11 | Deposited money should be counted and reconciled to the summary of cash collections to be sent to the accountant or bookkeeper. |  |  |  |
| 12 | A policy to ensure the change drawer should never be used to cash personal check. |  |  |  |
| 13 | Have policies that ensure the treasurer has the appropriate responsibilities for handling cash and financial records. |  |  |  |
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## Tuscola County

Multi-County Comparisons

## Central Dispatch/911 Fund

Prepared by: Controller-Administrator's Office on April 15, 2024
Year Ended
County
Fund Type/Structure

## Revenue and Transfers In

Property Taxes
Intergovernmental
Charges for Service
Interest and Rents
Other
Transfers In
Total Revenue and Transfers In

## Expenditures and Transfers Out

Operations
Capital Outlay
Debt Service
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out
Change in Fund Balance
Beginning Fund Balance
Ending Fund Balance
Fund Balance as a \% of Expenditures

## Information:

SEV - 2023
Taxable Value - 2023
Millage Levied for Dispatch/911
Population

## Sevice Efforts and Accomplishments:

Incoming Phone Calls (as an example)
Efficiency Ratios:
Per Capita Cost
12/31/2023
Tuscola
Special Revenue
09/30/2023
Gratiot
Component Unit
12/31/2022
Ionia
$\begin{array}{lcc}09 / 30 / 2023 & 12 / 31 / 2022 & 12 / 31 / 2022 \\ \text { Montcalm } & \text { Sanilac } & \text { Shiawassee }\end{array}$
Shiawassee
12/31/2022
Special Revenue Component Unit Special Revenue Component Unit Special Revenue Special Revenue Sper Joseph

| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,155,478 | \$ | 307,925 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 219,238 |  | 12,831 |  | - |  | - |  | 11,716 |  | - |  | 482,975 |  | 103,823 |
|  | 1,074,887 |  | 1,248,889 |  | 1,895,212 |  | 1,911,484 |  | 346,586 |  | 2,163,422 |  | 246,429 |  | 1,269,558 |
|  | 26,209 |  | 13,222 |  | 3,459 |  | 36,072 |  | 6,162 |  | 4,329 |  | - |  | 12,779 |
|  | 2,600 |  | 4,662 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 4,600 |  | 46,717 |  | 8,368 |
|  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 291,599 |  | - |  | - |  | 41,657 |
|  | 1,322,934 |  | 1,279,604 |  | 1,898,671 |  | 1,947,556 |  | 656,063 |  | 2,172,351 |  | 2,931,599 |  | 1,744,111 |


|  | 1,322,934 |  | 1,279,604 |  | 1,898,671 |  | 1,947,556 |  | 656,063 |  | 2,172,351 |  | 2,931,599 |  | 1,744,111 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1,335,220 |  | 1,073,148 |  | 1,596,488 |  | 1,780,429 |  | 665,219 |  | 3,717,798 |  | 1,765,803 |  | 1,704,872 |
|  | 149,727 |  | 221,530 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 53,037 |
|  | - |  | 333,680 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 574,264 |  | 129,706 |
|  | - |  | - |  | 42,000 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 9,413 |  | 7,345 |
|  | 1,484,947 |  | 1,628,358 |  | 1,638,488 |  | 1,780,429 |  | 665,219 |  | 3,717,798 |  | 2,349,480 |  | 1,894,960 |
|  | $(162,013)$ |  | $(348,754)$ |  | 260,183 |  | 167,127 |  | $(9,156)$ |  | $(1,545,447)$ |  | 582,119 |  | $(150,849)$ |
|  | 1,131,193 |  | 872,060 |  | 578,673 |  | 312,312 |  | 166,917 |  | 3,246,393 |  | 1,189,696 |  | 1,071,035 |
| \$ | 969,180 | \$ | 523,306 | \$ | 838,856 | \$ | 479,439 | \$ | 157,761 | \$ | 1,700,946 | \$ | 1,771,815 | \$ | 920,186 |
|  | 65.27\% |  | 32.14\% |  | 51.20\% |  | 26.93\% |  | 23.72\% |  | 45.75\% |  | 75.41\% |  | 48.56\% |


| $\$$ | $3,433,748,737$ | $\$$ | $2,599,808,865$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\$$ | $2,190,269,646$ | $\$$ | $1,714,456,282$ |
|  | None |  | None |
|  | 52,744 |  | 41,632 |

$\$ 3,133,070,088$
$\$ 2,067,842,100$
None
65,688
$\$ 3,485,111,800$
$\$ 2,387,648,957$
None
$\$ 3,113,256,657$
$\$ 1,862,708,827$
None
40,254
\$ 3,294,299,582
\$ 3,294,299,582
\$ 3,748,350,650

None
68,083
0.9942

60,978
\$ 3,258,235,197
\$ $2,149,556,413$

## Tuscola County <br> Yearly Comparisons <br> Central Dispatch/911 Fund <br> Prepared by: Controller-Administrator's Office on April 15, 2024

## Year Ended

## Revenue and Transfers In

Property Taxes
Intergovernmental
Charges for Services
Interest and Rents
Other
Transfers In
Total Revenue and Transfers In
Expenditures and Transfers Out

## Operations

Capital Outlay
Debt Service
Transfers Out
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out
Change in Fund Balance
Beginning Fund Balance
Ending Fund Balance
Fund Balance as a \% of Expenditures

## Information:

Population

| 3 Years of Actual |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual | Actual | Actual |
| $12 / 31 / 2021$ | $12 / 31 / 2022$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - | $\$$ | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 201,697 |  | 214,848 |  | 219,238 |
|  | $1,066,261$ |  | $1,079,183$ |  | $1,074,887$ |
|  | 8,618 |  | 10,250 |  | 26,209 |
|  | 2,466 |  | 1,600 |  | 2,600 |
|  | - | 266,669 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $1,572,550$ |  | $1,322,934$ |  |

```
\[
\text { \$ } \quad-\quad \$
\]
```



| \$ | - | \$ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | - |  | - |


| $\$$ | - |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | - |

\$

\$
213,000
\$

| - |
| ---: |
| $1,279,042$ | $\qquad$

$77.78 \%$
$65.27 \%$
$49.11 \%$
\#DIV/0

\#DIV/0!
\#DIV/0!

Sevice Efforts and Accomplishments:
Incoming Phone Calls (as an example)
Efficiency Ratios:
Per Capita Cost

## Tuscola County

All County Millage Comparison for 2023 Tax Year
Prepared by: Controller-Administrator's Office on April 16, 2024
Allocated
Medical Care
Mosquito
Police
Recycling
Roads
Roads/Highway/Bridges
Seniors
Veterans
MSU Extension
Library
Parks and Recreation
Promotion/Advertising - PA359/PA88
Ambulance/ALS/EMS
Jail/Law Enforcement
Drug Abuse
EMS 911/Central Dispatch
Medical
Save - Sanilac Abuse Violence Elimination
Transit/Bus
Total Levied
Information:
SEV - 2023
Taxable Value - 2023
Property Tax Revenue:
Ad-Valorem

|  | Tuscola |  | Gratiot | Ionia | Montcalm | Sanilac | Shiawassee | St. Joseph | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.9141 |  | 5.5206 | 4.5711 | 4.3066 | 4.0482 | 5.4220 | 4.5217 | 4.6149 |
|  | 0.2500 |  | - | - | - | 0.2000 | - | - | 0.2250 |
|  | 0.6316 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.6316 |
|  | 1.3300 |  | 0.4486 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.8893 |
|  | 0.1500 |  | - | - | - | 0.1500 | - | - | 0.1500 |
|  | 0.9657 |  | - | 0.9867 | - | 2.0000 | - | 0.9942 | 1.2367 |
|  | 0.4807 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4807 |
|  | 0.3200 |  | 0.6492 | 0.4921 | 0.3600 | 0.2500 | 0.5000 | 0.7456 | 0.4738 |
|  | 0.1700 |  | - | - | 0.2459 | - | 0.2956 | - | 0.2372 |
|  | 0.1000 |  | - | - | 0.2000 | 0.2000 | 0.0760 | - | 0.1440 |
|  | - |  | 0.4994 | 1.2159 | 0.8818 |  | - | - | 0.8657 |
|  | - |  | 0.3494 | - | - | 0.2000 | - | 0.2492 | 0.2662 |
|  | - |  | 0.4500 | - | - | - | - | - | 0.4500 |
|  | - |  | - | - | 0.5650 | - | - | - | 0.5650 |
|  | - |  | - | - | 0.9799 | - | - | - | 0.9799 |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | 0.5000 | - | - | 0.5000 |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | 0.2000 |  | 0.9942 | 0.5971 |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | 0.2000 | 1.9679 | - | 2.1679 |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | 0.2000 | - | - | 0.2000 |
|  | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 0.3300 | 0.3300 |
|  | 8.3121 |  | 7.9172 | 7.2658 | 7.5392 | 8.1482 | 8.2615 | 7.8349 | 7.8970 |
| \$ | 3,433,748,737 | \$ | 2,599,808,865 | \$ 3,133,070,088 | \$ 3,485, 111,800 | \$ 3,113,256,657 | \$ 3,294,299,582 | \$ 3,748,350,650 | \$ 3,258,235,197 |
| \$ | 2,190,269,646 | \$ | 1,714,456,282 | \$ 2,067,842,100 | \$ 2,387,648,957 | \$ 1,862,708,827 | \$ 2,357,504,708 | \$ 2,466,464,368 | \$ 2,149,556,413 |

## [EXTERNAL] CCOA Welcome Packet

1 message
BS\&A Financial Management Support [FMSupport@bsasoftware.com](mailto:FMSupport@bsasoftware.com)
Mon, Apr 15, 2024 at 3:56 PM To: "nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org" [nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org](mailto:nhammerbacher@tuscolacounty.org)

Hi Neil!

We are reaching out to you regarding the Change Chart of Accounts project to let you know that we are now beginning Wave \#5. Our records indicate that you are the primary contact for your municipality (for this particular project). If this is no longer correct, please be sure to let us know so that we can get our records updated.

## The available waves for this project were as follows:

Wave \#1 - This was an open wave for any municipality on our project list (regardless of Fiscal Year End) who wanted to complete this project prior to their default wave. Municipalities had the ability to submit the required materials prior to February $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ in order to take advantage of this wave.

Wave \#2 - This was a wave for municipalities with a Fiscal Year End of October $31^{\text {st }}$, November $30^{\text {th }}$, December $31^{\text {st }}$, January $31^{\text {st }}$ or February $28^{\text {th }}$. In this wave, municipalities with any of these fiscal year ends had the opportunity to submit the required materials to us between April $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ - June $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ in order to take advantage of the Early Bird Compliance opportunity.

Wave \#3 - This was a wave for municipalities with a Fiscal Year End of March $31^{\text {st }}$, April $30^{\text {th }}$ or May $31^{\text {st }}$. In this wave, municipalities with any of these fiscal year ends had the opportunity to submit the required materials to us between August $1^{\text {st }}$, 2021 - October $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ in order to take advantage of the Early Bird Compliance opportunity.

Wave \#4 - This was a wave for municipalities with a Fiscal Year End of June $30^{\text {th }}$, July $31^{\text {st }}$, August $31^{\text {st }}$ or September $30^{\text {th }}$. In this wave, municipalities with any of these fiscal year ends had the opportunity to submit the required materials to us between December $1^{\text {st }}, 2021$ - February $1^{\text {st }}, 2022$ in order to take advantage of the Early Bird Compliance opportunity.

Wave \#5 - This is a wave for any municipality (including_yours) who was not able to meet the deadline for the Early Bird Compliance opportunity that was available within their default wave. Since this is the final wave being offered, this will act as an "open ended" wave with no submission deadline. You will want to be sure to submit the required materials to us in time to complete this project and to have your Chart of Accounts updated, prior to the compliance deadline that the State of Michigan has established for you (see attachments).

## WHAT THIS MEANS FOR YOU:

If you would like to participate in Wave \#5, the window for you to submit the two required items for this project is now open. You will need to have a completed Investigation Questionnaire and a completed CCOA Spreadsheet submitted to us when you are ready to begin this project. Please keep in mind that once those materials are submitted to BS\&A, the average amount of time that it takes for a municipality to complete this project and to have their Chart of Accounts updated is approximately 16 weeks. You will want to be sure to submit the required materials to us in time to complete this project and to have your Chart of Accounts updated,
prior to the compliance deadline that the State of Michigan has established for you. To begin working on those two required items, your steps will be as follows:

- Getting_Started - There is a document attached to this email called "CCOA Project Overview". This document will outline what the entire process looks like, and what the utility in the system will help us do. In addition to the information provided in this email, please also refer to the attached document called "CCOA FAQ's".
- 
- Reviewing_your Current Data - It's recommended to begin reviewing the current Funds, Departments and Accounts that exist in your data and begin comparing those to the Fund numbers, Department numbers and Account numbers that the State of Michigan has listed in their newest Uniform Chart of Accounts document. This will allow you to begin compiling a list of all numbering changes that need to happen within your data in order to comply with the State's document. Here is a link to access the most recent version of the State's Uniform Chart of Accounts document:


## https://www.michigan.gov/treasury/-/media/Project/Websites/treasury/BLGSS-LAFD-FOLDER/Bulletins-Manuals-and-Forms/UCA-January-2023.pdf?rev=02cd70c35be645589d1f3e8a19e68bb8\&hash= BEE77DF29E72E56474E428670030CF32

- Preparing_your Spreadsheet - One of the items that you will need to submit to BS\&A is a Change Chart of Accounts (CCOA) Spreadsheet. There is a document attached to this email called "Preparing your CCOA Spreadsheet" that you can refer to for instructions. For other Tips and Tricks of creating a correct Chart of Account Change Spreadsheet, please also see the attached "CCOA Spreadsheet Tips and Tricks" document.
- Investigation Questionnaire - Attached to this email is an Investigation Questionnaire that will need to be completed and returned to us, along with your CCOA Spreadsheet. Please save this Word document, type your answers into it and then return the edited Word document back to us.
- Information from the State of Michigan - We have attached a copy of the deadlines for compliance that the State has released. You will want to locate your Fiscal Year End Date in the column on the right of the chart to know what your compliance deadline is.
- Submitting - Once you feel that you have a completed CCOA Spreadsheet and a completed Investigation Questionnaire, you may submit those items together via email at FMSupport@bsasoftware.com.

Please don't hesitate to let us know if you have any questions or concerns!

## BS\&A Software Financial Management Support Team

Phone: 517-641-8900
Toll Free: 855-272-7638
Fax: 517-641-8960
Email: FMSupport@bsasoftware.com

## 7 attachments

CCOA Charge Updated 12-30-2022.docx
16K

Q
CCOA FAQ's.pdf
169K
回
CCOA Project Overview.pdf
207K
7. CCOA Spreadsheet Tips and Tricks.pdf 518K
(6) Investigation Questionnaire.docx

17K
Preparing your CCOA Spreadsheet.pdf 222K

UCA Implementation Dates (announced April 2020).pdf 171K

CONSTRUCTION, INC.

## Change Proposal Request (CPR)

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project\# | $23-021$ |
| Titde | Tuscola County Offices |
| Address | 171 N State St |
| City, State, Zip | Caro, MI 48723-1660 |


| Change Proposal Request |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Conract\# | 23-021-001 |
| CPR\# | 23-021-001 |
| lssue Date | 01-Apr-2024 |
| Subject | Bulletin \#1 |


| Prime Contract Company |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact | Derek M. Booms |
| Company | Booms Construction, Inc. |
| Address | 1170 N Van Dyke Rd |
| City, State, Zip | Bad Axe, MI 48413-8076 |
| Phone |  |


| Owner |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact | Mike Miller |
| Company | County of Tuscola |
| Address | 125 W. Lincoln St. |
| City, State, Zp | Caro, Ml 48723-1660 |
| Phone | 989-672-3756 |

Description of the proposed change:
1A - Install 12"x24" LVT in lieu of 12 "x12" VCT. Typical for all areas to receive VCT.
Subcontractor LVT $=\$ 13,495.00$
Subcontractor VCT $=<\$ 5,030.00>$
$10 \%$ GC $=\$ 847.00$
Total ADD $=\$ 9,312.00$
1B - Install wood base in the courtoom in lieu of the 7-3/4" rubber base
Subcontractor wood base $=\$ 1,700.00$
Subcontractor rubber base $=<\$ 890.00>$
$10 \%$ GC = $\$ 81.00$
Total ADD $=\$ 891.00$
2-Clean, repair, and tuckpoint brick as required in lieu of wood furring and fiber cement siding
Subcontractor tuckpointing $=\$ 2,000.00$
Furring and siding labor $=<\$ 3,990.00>$
Furing and siding material $=\langle \$ 3,421.00>$
Subcontractor painting $=<\$ 1,200.00>$
Total DEDUCT $=\langle \$ 6,611.00\rangle$
3-Remove mullions from window type ' C ' - No change
4 - Install 4" conduit for owner's antenna dish cabling
Labor = \$456.00
Materials $=\$ 150.00$
Subcontractor $=\$ 2,270.00$
$15 \%$ GC $=\$ 91.00$
$10 \%$ GC $=\$ 227.00$
Total ADD $=\$ 3,194.00$
Total ADD $=\$ 6,786.00$

Net Amount of this Proposed Change:
$\$ 6,786.00$
The Contract time due to this Change Proposal Request is to be determined at a later date.
This document, when fully executed as accepted, shall constitute authorization to proceed with the work described herein. If accepted, a change order must be processed to modify the contract.

## Submitted By



Response: ᄃ Accept $\square$ Do Not Accept

## Change Proposal Request (CPR)

CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Proposed Items Summary

| Order $\#$ | Code | Description | Subtotal |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 5 | 04 | Masonry | $2,000.00$ |
| 7 | $06 A$ | Rough carpentry | -194.00 |
| 11 | $07 C$ | Siding/Soffit/Fascia | $-6,520.00$ |
| 16 | O9B | Painting | $-1,200.00$ |
| 18 | $09 D$ | Flooring and Wall Base | $10,203.00$ |
| 24 | 26 | Electrical | $2,497.00$ |

Total $=\$ 6,786.00$

| Project Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project\# | $23-021$ |
| Titie | Tuscola County Offices |
| Address | 171 N State St |
| City, State, Zip | Caro, MI 48723-1660 |


| Change Proposal Request |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contract\# | $23-021-001$ |
| CPR\# | $23-021-002$ |
| Issue Date | $01-$ Apr-2024 |
| Subject | Basement Beam Reinforcing |


| Pime Contract Company |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact | Derek M. Booms |
| Company | Booms Construction, Inc. |
| Address | 1170 N Van Dyke Rd |
| City, State, Zip | Bed Axe, MI 48413-8076 |
| Phone |  |


| Owner |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact | Mlke Miller |
| Compeny | County of Tuscola |
| Address | 125 W. Lincoln St. |
| City, State, Zip | Caro, Ml 48723-1660 |
| Phone | 989-672-3756 |

## Description of the proposed change:

Bolt C8x11.5 on the two basement beams and box in the steel posts
Labor $=\$ 1,824.00$
Materials $=\$ 464.00$
Subcontractor $=\$ 4,200.00$
$15 \%$ GC $=\$ 344.00$
$10 \%$ GC $=\$ 420.00$
Total ADD $=\$ 7,252.00$

Net Amount of this Proposed Change:
The Contract time due to this Change Proposal Request is to be determined at a later date.
This document, when fully executed as accepted, shall constitute authorization to proceed with the work described herein. If accepted, a change order must be processed to modify the contract.
Submitted By
Booms Construction, Inc.
Company
Derek M. Booms $\qquad$ 01-Apr-2024
By

Response: $\square$ Accept $\square$ Do Not Accept

| 00＇乙と9＇乙 |  | Kquadues पб́noy | $\forall 90$ | $L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00079 $\downarrow$ temans |  |  | S0 | 9 |
| feypuns |  | uondypsed | epoo | \＃－10po |
| Krewuns suell pesodald |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\text { -ONI 'NOILOnd } \perp \text { SNOつ }$ |  |  |
| （ydo） 1 Senbey lesododd |  |  |  |  |
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